

Oscillatory Coupling Between Thalamus, Cerebellum, and Motor Cortex in Essential Tremor

Alexandra Steina, Sarah Sure, Markus Butz, Jan Vesper, Alfons Schnitzler, Jan Hirschmann

Article - Version of Record

Suggested Citation:

Steina, A., Sure, S., Butz, M., Vesper, J., Schnitzler, A., & Hirschmann, J. (2025). Oscillatory Coupling Between Thalamus, Cerebellum, and Motor Cortex in Essential Tremor. Movement Disorders, 40(5), 896–905. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.30165





This version is available at:

URN: https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:hbz:061-20250526-113235-7

Terms of Use:

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

For more information see: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Oscillatory Coupling Between Thalamus, Cerebellum, and Motor Cortex in Essential Tremor

Alexandra Steina, MSc,¹ Sarah Sure, MD,¹ Markus Butz, PhD,¹ Jan Vesper, MD,² Alfons Schnitzler, MD,¹ and Jan Hirschmann, PhD^{1*}

ABSTRACT: Background: Essential tremor is hypothesized to emerge from synchronized oscillatory activity within the cerebello-thalamo-cortical circuit. However, this hypothesis has not yet been tested using local field potentials directly recorded from the thalamus alongside signals from both the cortex and cerebellum, leaving a gap in the understanding of essential tremor.

Objectives: To clarify the importance of cerebellothalamo-cortical oscillatory coupling for essential tremor. **Methods:** We investigated oscillatory coupling between thalamic local field potentials and simultaneously recorded magnetoencephalography in 19 essential tremor patients with externalized deep brain stimulation electrodes. Brain activity was measured while patients repeatedly adopted a tremor-provoking posture and while pouring rice grains from one cup to another. In a whole-brain analysis of coherence between the ventral intermediate nucleus of the thalamus and cortex we contrasted epochs containing tremor and epochs lacking tremor.

Upper limb action tremor is the main symptom of essential tremor, the most prevalent movement disorder worldwide.¹ Deep brain stimulation (DBS) of the ventral intermediate nucleus of the thalamus (VIM) is an effective therapy for severe essential tremor. The insertion of electrodes for DBS provides the unique opportunity to record signals directly from the VIM. Intraoperative studies have identified tremor-synchronous bursting cells in the VIM² and local field potential (LFP) recordings have uncovered oscillations at tremor and double tremor frequency, coherent with muscle activity in the tremulous arm.³

¹Institute of Clinical Neuroscience and Medical Psychology, Medical Faculty, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany; ²Department of Functional Neurosurgery and Stereotaxy, Neurosurgical Clinic, Medical Faculty, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Correspondence to: Dr. Jan Hirschmann, Institute of Clinical Neuroscience and Medical Psychology, Medical Faculty, Heinrich Heine **Results:** Both postural and kinetic tremor were associated with an increase of thalamic power and thalamo-cortex coherence at individual tremor frequency in the bilateral cerebellum and primary sensorimotor cortex contralateral to tremor. These areas also exhibited an increase in corticomuscular coherence in the presence of tremor. The coupling of motor cortex to both thalamus and muscle correlated with tremor amplitude during postural tremor. **Conclusions:** These results demonstrate that essential tremor is indeed associated with increased oscillatory coupling at tremor frequency within a cerebello-thalamo-cortical network, with coupling strength directly reflecting tremor severity. © 2025 The Author(s). *Movement Disorders* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society.

Key Words: ventral intermediate nucleus; functional connectivity; essential tremor; deep brain stimulation; magnetoencephalography

Apart from the VIM, other parts of the brain, such as cerebellum and motor cortex, have been implicated in the pathophysiology of essential tremor. Studies using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) have found tremor-related signal fluctuations in the cerebellum, the thalamus, and motor cortex.^{4,5} Further, non-invasive electroencephalography (EEG) and magnetoencephalography (MEG) studies have revealed tremor-synchronous activity in the cerebellum and primary motor cortex.⁶⁻⁹

Based on these findings, it is assumed that essential tremor emerges through synchronized activity within

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: Nothing to report.

Funding agency: Brunhilde Moll Stiftung.

Received: 13 November 2024; Revised: 20 January 2025; Accepted: 13 February 2025

Published online 3 March 2025 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com). DOI: 10.1002/mds.30165

University Moorenstraße 5, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany; E-mail: jan. hirschmann@med.uni-duesseldorf.de

the cerebello-thalamo-cortical circuit, even though tremor-related synchronization of thalamic, cortical, and cerebellar oscillations has rarely been investigated to date. Two case studies describe coherence between the thalamus and motor cortex,^{10,11} but a group-level, brain-wide analysis is lacking, as is evidence for tremorrelated coupling between the thalamus and cerebellum.

Studying these network synchronization processes in humans is challenging. While fMRI has provided important evidence for the involvement of the cerebellothalamo-cortical circuit in tremor,^{4,5} it lacks the temporal resolution required to capture the fast dynamics of tremor. Conversely, MEG and EEG have sufficient temporal resolution but have limited sensitivity to deep sources, such as the thalamus.

Here, we overcome these difficulties by means of simultaneous recordings from externalized DBS electrodes, MEG, and muscle activity in patients with essential tremor. Using this methodology we provide, to the best of our knowledge, the first description of the network topology of thalamo-cortical coupling, for both postural and kinetic tremor. In addition, we demonstrate the behavioral relevance of thalamo-cortical coupling by relating it to tremor severity.

Methods

Patients and Recordings

A total of 19 patients with essential tremor undergoing surgery for DBS participated in the study, which was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty at Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf (ET: '2018-217-Zweitvotum', '2021-1587-andere Forschung erstvotierend'). All patients provided written informed and fulfilled the Movement Disorder Society's diagnostic criteria for essential tremor.¹² Detailed patient information is provided in Table 1.

The recordings took place the day after implantation of DBS macroelectrodes, before the pulse generator was implanted. This allowed for the recording of LFPs from externalized leads, which were referenced to the mastoid and connected to amplifiers integrated into the MEG. We recorded from the bilateral electrodes targeting the VIM in combination with MEG, EMGs from both forearms (extensor digitorum communis and flexor digitorum communis), accelerometer signals from both index fingers, and electrooculograms. All signals were recorded by a 306-channel MEG system (Vectorview, MEGIN). The sampling rate was 2 kHz.

Paradigm

The experiment consisted of two motor tasks, which were performed following a 5–10 min resting state recording, analyzed previously.¹³ In essential tremor, patients experience action tremor with a frequency of

4–8 Hz, which occurs when maintaining a posture against gravity (postural tremor) or during voluntary movement (kinetic tremor).¹² The tasks were designed to capture both kinds of tremor.

In the first motor task (HOLD; postural tremor), patients placed their elbows on a table in front of them and elevated both forearms with palms facing inward and fingers spread. This task was carried out for 7 min in total. To avoid fatigue, we alternated holding and resting every 20 s.

Throughout the second task (POUR; kinetic tremor) patients kept one plastic cup in each hand, one filled with rice grains and the other empty. A screen was positioned in front of the patients. They were instructed to start pouring the rice from one cup into the other, standing on the table, once the fixation cross turned green (Go cue), and to keep pouring until the cross turned red (Stop cue). Then, both cups were to be placed on the table until the next Go cue appeared. The Go and Stop cues were displayed for 10 s and 5 s, respectively. This task was performed in 2.5-min blocks and each patient completed 2–3 blocks. Due to fatigue, only 8 of 19 patients completed this task.

Data Preprocessing

Preprocessing and further analysis steps were performed with the FieldTrip toolbox,¹⁴ MNE-Python,¹⁵ and custom-written MATLAB scripts.

We scanned the raw data for bad MEG, LFP, and EMG channels and excluded these from further analyses. Next, we applied temporal signal space separation to the MEG data using MNE-Python's *mne.preprocessing*. *maxwell_filter* in order to reduce artefacts. We set *st_duration* to 10 s and *st_correlation* to 0.98.

The rest of the analysis was performed with the FieldTrip toolbox. The data were down-sampled to 200 Hz and only the 204 planar gradiometers were used for further analysis. LFPs were rearranged into a bipolar montage by subtracting the signals of adjacent contacts (see Fig. S1) and visually screened for artifacts. EMGs were high-pass filtered at 10 Hz and full-wave rectified.

Tremor

We inspected the continuous EMG and accelerometer signals to identify tremor and tremor-free epochs (Fig. 1A). To avoid any tremor-related activity, we labelled epochs as tremor-free only if we found no indication of tremor in either hand, which was mostly the case for the pauses in between movements. In three cases, tremor persisted in the pauses so that we had to extract tremor-free epochs from the resting-state recordings.¹³

The presence of tremor was evaluated separately for each body side. While this procedure accounts for the independence of left and right upper limb tremor,¹⁶ it does not stratify the tremor state of the other body side,

TABLE 1 Patient details

| Patient ID | Age (y) | Sex | Disease duration (y) | Electrode type |
|------------------|-------------|-----|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| ET01 | 65 | М | 19 | Abbott Infinity |
| ET02 | 69 | М | 18 | Abbott Infinity |
| ET03 | 71 | М | 20 | Abbott Infinity |
| ET04 | 60 | F | 49 | Abbott Infinity |
| ET05 | 62 | М | 50 | Abbott Infinity |
| ET06 | 65 | М | 30 | Boston Sc. Vercice Standard |
| ET07 | 58 | М | 5 | Abbott Infinity |
| ET08 | 77 | F | 8 | Boston Sc. Vercice Cartesia |
| ET09 | 74 | М | 20 | Abbott Infinity |
| ET10 | 30 | М | 25 | Abbott Infinity |
| ET11 | 57 | F | 51 | Abbott Infinity |
| ET12 | 76 | М | NA | Abbott Infinity |
| ET13 | 54 | М | 39 | Medtronic SenSight |
| ET14 | 62 | F | 56 | Abbott Infinity |
| ET15 | 65 | М | 20 | Boston Sc. Vercice Cartesia |
| ET16 | 71 | М | 20 | Boston Sc. Vercice Cartesia |
| ET17 | 67 | М | 15 | Abbott Infinity |
| ET18 | 82 | F | 62 | Boston Sc. Vercice Cartesia |
| ET19 | 68 | F | 35 | Boston Sc. Vercice Cartesia |
| $\mu \pm \sigma$ | 65 ± 11 | | 31 ± 20 | |

Abbreviations: y, year; M, male; F, female; NA, not available; μ , mean; σ , standard deviation.



FIG. 1. Electromyography (EMG) signals and deep brain stimulation electrodes targeting the ventral intermediate nucleus of the thalamus. (A) 10 Hz Highpass filtered and rectified and EMG signal during change from rest to hold in one patient. Tremor started immediately after the arm had been lifted. (B) Electrodes targeting the ventral intermediate nucleus of the thalamus, localized with Lead-DBS. MNI, Montreal Neurological Institute. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

which may or may not exhibit tremor at the same time. Because the tremor label pertained to one body side only, we limited all tremor analyses to the corresponding (contralateral) hemisphere.

To verify the presence/absence of tremor, we computed the EMG power spectra for each forearm between 1 and 35 Hz in 0.5 Hz steps. We averaged the spectra of

flexor and extensor and identified individual tremor frequency for each body side (Table S1).

Power and Coherence Spectra

For the computation of spectra, we segmented the data into non-overlapping 2 s epochs, convolved

the epochs with a Hanning taper, and computed power and magnitude-squared coherence in the 1-35 Hz range using Welch's method (frequency resolution: 0.5 Hz).

Physiological power spectra are assumed to consist of a periodic component, visible as peaks in the spectrum, and an aperiodic (1/f) component. We used the fitting oscillations and 1/f (FOOOF) algorithm¹⁷ to model both components of the EMG and LFP spectra (for details see Supporting Information 'Power spectra– FOOOF algorithm'). For further analysis, only the periodic component was considered.

Contact Localization and Contact Selection

DBS electrodes were localized with Lead-DBS¹⁸ (Fig. 1B; see Supporting Information for details). We ensured that electrodes were on target and considered only contacts within the ventral thalamus according to the DISTAL atlas.¹⁹ For each hemisphere, we selected the bipolar LFP channel with the highest power peak at individual tremor frequency. Depending on the individual lateralization of tremor, this procedure resulted in either one (one body side affected by tremor) or two selected channels per patient (both body sides affected by tremor). We excluded one patient due to uncertain electrode position.

Source Reconstruction

For each patient, a single-shell head model was generated based on their individual T1-weighted MRI scan (Siemens Mangetom Tim Trio, 3-T MRI scanner, München, Germany). Source reconstruction was performed for a grid with 567 points on the cortical surface, aligned to Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space, with a linear constrained minimum variance (LCMV) beamformer.²⁰ The regularization parameter λ was set to 5%. To account for the rank reduction resulting from temporal signal space separation, we truncated the covariance matrix such that it had the same rank as the Maxwell-filtered data. When computing condition contrasts (tremor vs. rest) we applied a common spatial filter to exclude confounds arising due to differences in spatial filters.

Source Coherence Images

We computed thalamocortical and corticomuscular coherence spectra (see 'Power and Coherence Spectra' for details). In addition, we averaged activity ± 0.5 Hz around individual tremor frequency and computed one source image per hemisphere in this frequency range. Moreover, we constructed coherence maps in the beta range (13–35 Hz) for correlation with tremor severity. For epochs containing right-hand movement, we mirrored the source images across the midsagittal plane. In consequence, brain activity ipsilateral to movement appears in the left hemisphere, and brain activity contralateral to movement in the right hemisphere in all figures.

Tremor Amplitude

To quantify tremor amplitude, we extracted EMG spectral power at individual tremor frequency ± 0.5 Hz from the 1/f-corrected power spectra and averaged power over flexor and extensor.

Statistical Analysis

As in previous studies,^{13,21} the unit of observation was hemisphere rather than patient (postural tremor: $N_{\text{hemispheres}} = 16$, kinetic tremor: $N_{\text{hemispheres}} = 9$). The study had a within-hemisphere design, and we matched the amount of data across conditions for each hemisphere when computing condition contrasts (action tremor vs. rest). The statistical analysis was based on nonparametric, two-sample, cluster-based permutation tests with 1000 random permutations. The tests were two-tailed and the α -level was set to 0.05. Multiple comparison correction was implemented by relating all effects to the strongest effects observed in the permuted data.²² Cortical areas showing differences served as regions of interest for further analyses, such as Pearson correlation between coherence and tremor amplitude.

When comparing spectra, we recentered them on individual tremor frequency (tf) and included the frequency range from tf – 2 Hz to tf + 15 Hz.

Results

Tremor

In the HOLD task, 7 of 19 patients experienced bilateral postural tremor and 3 patients experienced unilateral tremor. In the POUR task, 4 of 8 patients experienced bilateral kinetic tremor and 2 patients experienced unilateral tremor (Table S1). The average tremor frequency was 5.1 Hz ± 0.9 Hz ($\mu \pm \sigma$) for postural tremor and 4.4 Hz ± 1.1 Hz for kinetic tremor.

Tremor-Related Thalamic Activity

When patients experienced tremor a clear spectral peak emerged at individual tremor frequency, which was absent during rest. This occurred in the EMG power spectrum (cluster-based permutation test: postural tremor: $t_{clustersum} = 27.6$, P = 0.002, Fig. 2A; kinetic tremor: t = 19.7, P = 0.017, Fig. 2B), the VIM power spectrum (postural tremor: t = 13.0, P = 0.009, Fig. 2C; kinetic tremor: t = 14.2, P = 0.009, Fig. 2D), and the VIM-EMG coherence spectrum (postural tremor: t = 3.1, P = 0.15, Fig. 2E; kinetic tremor: no cluster, Fig. 2F).



FIG. 2. Thalamic and muscle activity during postural and kinetic tremor and tremor-free epochs. Averaged electromyography (EMG) activity of the tremulous arm during postural (A) and kinetic (B) tremor and tremor-free epochs. 1/f-corrected ventral intermediate nucleus of the thalamus (VIM) power contralateral to the tremulous arm during postural (C) and kinetic (D) tremor. Coherence between the tremulous arm and the contralateral VIM during postural (E) and kinetic (F) tremor. Spectra were shifted along the frequency axis to align them on individual tremor frequency (tf). For postural tremor, the spectra were averaged over 16 hemispheres from 9 patients. For kinetic tremor, the spectra were averaged over 9 hemispheres from 5 patients. The shaded areas (pink and yellow) represent the standard error of the mean. The grey shading indicates significant differences between tremor and tremor-free epochs. [Color figure can be viewed at wilevonlinelibrary.com]

Coherence, Postural Tremor

Coupling at tremor frequency between the cortex and the VIM contralateral to the tremulous arm was stronger in the presence than in the absence of tremor. Figure 3 shows the brain regions where significant modulations (P < 0.05) occurred. The effect mapped to the sensorimotor cortex contralateral to tremor (cluster-based permutation test: $t_{clustersum} = 132.23$, P = 0.002;MNI-coordinates maximal *t*-value: $X = \pm 45.4$ mm, Y = -30 mm, Z = 62.9 mm), the ipsilateral cerebellum ($t = 68.19, P = 0.008; X = \pm 8.5 \text{ mm}$, Y = -90 mm, Z = -35 mm), and the contralateral cerebellum (t = 39.22,P = 0.021; $X = \pm 25$ mm, Y = -50 mm, Z = -60 mm).

Corticomuscular coupling increased in similar regions: bilateral motor cortex (t = 205.37, P = 0.004; $X = \pm 23.7$ mm, Y = -60 mm, Z = 70.2 mm), bilateral cerebellum (t = 172.83, P = 0.006; $X = \pm 26.6$ mm, Y = -90 mm, Z = -31.8 mm), and bilateral prefrontal cortex (t = 271.34, P = 0.002; $X = \pm 40.7$ mm, Y = 50 mm, Z = 21.7 mm; Fig. 3A). The corresponding t-maps can be found in Figure S2A (VIM-cortex coherence) and Figure S3A (corticomuscular coherence).

VIM-cortex and corticomuscular coherence overlapped in several areas, such as the hand area of sensorimotor cortex contralateral to tremor, as well as in the cerebellum ipsilateral to tremor. Yet, the changes in corticomuscular coherence were more widespread, including additional frontal and parietal areas. The VIM-cortex and corticomuscular coherence spectra for the sensorimotor cortex contralateral to tremor, and the cerebellum ipsilateral and contralateral to tremor are displayed in Figure 4A,B.

Coherence, Kinetic Tremor

During kinetic tremor, similar changes occurred, but the effects were more circumscribed (Fig. 3B). Increases of coherence were observed in supplementary motor cortex contralateral to tremor (t = 38.8, P = 0.012; $X = \pm 39$ mm, Y = -20 mm, Z = 66 mm), the



FIG. 3. Thalamo-cortical and corticomuscular coherence increased during postural and kinetic tremor. The surface plots illustrate the increase of ventral intermediate nucleus of the thalamus (VIM)-cortex (purple) and corticomuscular coherence (light pink) during postural (A) and kinetic (B) tremor at individual tremor frequency ± 0.5 Hz. The overlap between VIM-cortex and corticomuscular coherence is displayed in pink. Only coherence to the VIM contralateral to movement is displayed. Left hemisphere: ipsilateral to tremor; right hemisphere: contralateral to tremor. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

cerebellum ipsilateral (t = 62.6, P = 0.002; $X = \pm 50.6$ mm, Y = -40 mm, Z = 41.8 mm), and contralateral to tremor (t = 37.84, P = 0.012). Corticomuscular coherence increased in medial sensorimotor regions (t = 73.3, P = 0.01; $X = \pm 16.9$ mm, Y = 10 mm, Z = 67.1 mm). The corresponding t-maps are shown in Figure S2B (VIM-cortex coherence) and Figure S3B (corticomuscular coherence).

Relationship between VIM-Cortex Coherence and Tremor Amplitude–Postural Tremor Tremor Frequency

The amplitude of tremor correlated with VIM-motor cortex coherence at tremor frequency (r = 0.59, P = 0.017, Fig. 4C(i)) during postural tremor. The relationship between tremor amplitude and VIM-cerebellar



FIG. 4. Coherence spectra and correlation between postural tremor amplitude and coherence. Coherence between ventral intermediate nucleus of the thalamus (VIM) (A) electromyography (EMG) (B) and (i) motor cortex contralateral, (ii) cerebellum ipsilateral, and (iii) cerebellum contralateral to tremor, for postural tremor and tremor-free epochs. Spectra were averaged across patients. Shaded areas represent the standard error of the mean. Scatter plots illustrate the relationship between tremor amplitude and VIM-cortex (C) and EMG-cortex (D) coherence at tremor frequency and in the beta band (13–35 Hz) during postural tremor. Cb, cerebellum; M1, primary motor cortex; ipsi, ipsilateral to tremor; contra, contralateral to tremor; tf, individual tremor frequency. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

STEINA ET AL

coherence, however, was not significant (cerebellum ipsilateral to tremor: r = 0.3, P = 0.26, Fig. 4C(ii); cerebellum contralateral to tremor: r = 0.4, P = 0.12, Fig. 4C (iii)). Postural tremor amplitude also correlated with EMG-motor cortex coherence (r = 0.72, P = 0.002, Fig. 4D(i)). The correlation with EMG-cerebellar coherence was not significant (cerebellum ipsilateral to tremor: r = 0.42, P = 0.1, Fig. 4D(ii); cerebellum contralateral to tremor: r = 0.3, P = 0.24, Fig. 4D(iii)).

Beta Band

We found a negative correlation between tremor amplitude and VIM-motor cortex coherence in the beta band (r = -0.56, P = 0.025, Fig. 4C(i)). The relationship between tremor amplitude and VIM-cerebellar beta coherence, however, was not significant (cerebellum ipsilateral to tremor: r = -0.25, P = 0.34, Fig. 4C(ii); cerebellum contralateral to tremor: r = -0.14, P = 0.6, Fig. 4C(iii)). The correlation between tremor amplitude and EMG-cortex beta coherence was not significant (motor cortex: r = -0.4, P = 0.1, Fig. 4D(i); cerebellum ipsilateral to tremor: r = -0.37, P = 0.17, Fig. 4D(ii); cerebellum contralateral to tremor: r = -0.15, P = 0.6, Fig. 4D(iii)).

Discussion

In this study, we characterized VIM-cortex coupling during tremor in patients with essential tremor, using intracranial recordings from the VIM, in combination with MEG. During postural and kinetic tremor, VIM power and VIM-cortex coherence increased at individual tremor frequency. This effect was most prominent in the primary motor and primary somatosensory cortex ipsilateral to the VIM and the bilateral cerebellum. Corticomuscular coherence also increased during tremor and exhibited a similar spatial organization. Coupling strength of motor cortex to both VIM and muscle correlated with postural tremor amplitude.

Localization of Tremor-Related Activity

Using intracranial and MEG recordings, we demonstrated that neuronal oscillations in the ventral thalamus synchronize with motor cortical and cerebellar activity in the presence of tremor. Although this is a common narrative in the tremor literature, no study has, to the best of our knowledge, demonstrated this effect in a larger cohort of essential tremor patients.

Our findings add to a growing body of evidence for a central tremor network underlying essential tremor, gathered through a wide range of techniques, including clinical electrophysiology,^{6,7} fMRI,^{4,5} neuropathology,^{23,24} neurostimulation,²⁵⁻²⁸ and tractography.^{29,30} Studies combining EMG and fMRI have localized tremor-associated brain activity by tracking BOLD signal modulations correlated with slow changes in tremor amplitude.4,31,32 Similarly, MEG⁷ and EEG⁸ have been combined with EMG to investigate tremor at a smaller timescale. Across studies, the thalamus, the cerebellum, and primary motor cortex have emerged as major hubs of the essential tremor network. Complementary to these findings. neuromodulation has uncovered important functional aspects of the cerebello-thalamo-cortical circuit. It has been demonstrated, for example, that phase-locked VIM DBS²⁶ and non-invasive stimulation of the cerebellum²⁷ or motor cortex²⁵ can intensify or weaken tremor. depending on the phase difference between tremor and stimulation. These findings emphasize the importance of rhythmic neural activity synchronized across a distributed tremor network, similar to findings in Parkinson's disease.³³ A correlation between oscillatory coupling and essential tremor severity, however, has not been demonstrated to date. This is one important contribution of the current study, emphasizing the clinical relevance of thalamo-cortical coupling at tremor frequency.

Cerebellum

The cerebellum is thought to play a major role in the pathophysiology of essential tremor.34,35 In line with this notion, we found that both VIM and muscle activity in the tremulous arm were coherent with the bilateral cerebellum during postural and kinetic tremor. In contrast, previous MEG/EEG studies have found tremor-associated neural activity to be limited to the cerebellar hemisphere ipsilateral to the tremulous arm.^{6,7} This difference may stem from bilateral postural tremor in some of our patients, leading to bilateral cerebellar activation. Notwithstanding an effect of bilateral tremor in this scenario, bilateral cerebellar activation was also visible during unilateral kinetic tremor (POUR). An involvement of the bilateral cerebellum is plausible based on the structural connections of the VIM: it receives inputs from the contralateral cerebellum via decussating fibres and, to a minor extent, from the ipsilateral cerebellum via non-decussating fibers of the dentato-rubro-thalamic tract.³⁶ Moreover, studies combining EMG and fMRI reported bilateral cerebellar involvement during unilateral tremor in patients with essential tremor^{32,37} and similar observations have been made for unilateral dystonic tremor.³⁸ However, only the cerebellum ipsilateral to movement was active during mimicked tremor in healthy individuals.^{32,37} This indicates that the recruitment of both cerebellar hemispheres might be a pathological feature.

Primary Sensorimotor Cortex

It is well-established that the primary sensorimotor cortex plays an important role in many types of involuntary movement, such as Parkinsonian tremor³³ or focal dystonia.³⁹ The role of thalamo-sensorimotor cortex coupling in essential tremor, however, is less clear. To date, simultaneous LFP-EEG recordings have been conducted in three patients across two studies, all showing tremor frequency peaks in the VIM-motor cortex coherence spectra.^{10,11} For coupling between muscle and motor cortex, ambiguous results have been reported. Some studies found increased coupling during tremor,^{6,7} while others found coupling in only a few patients,⁴⁰ and one study reported no coupling at all.⁴¹ Trying to reconcile these findings, it has been speculated that the involvement of the sensorimotor cortex is intermittent.⁴² In this study, we provide evidence for motor cortical involvement in essential tremor: VIM/EMG-motor cortex coupling increased during both postural and kinetic tremor.

During postural tremor, the strength of VIM-motor cortex and EMG-motor cortex coupling at tremor frequency, but not of VIM-cerebellar or EMG-cerebellar coupling correlated with tremor amplitude, underpinning the importance of the motor cortex. A prominent contribution by the motor cortex is supported by previous studies demonstrating that non-invasive stimulation of motor cortex reduces essential tremor amplitude.⁴³ Interestingly, similar observations have been made for re-emergent tremor in Parkinson's disease: transcranial magnetic stimulation of the primary motor cortex, but not the cerebellum, modulated tremor amplitude.^{44,45} In addition, connectivity and network mapping studies have unveiled that VIM-DBS at sites more strongly connected to the primary sensorimotor cortex was associated with superior tremor improvement.^{30,46} Notably, it has further been reported that sensorimotor cortex leads muscle activity during tremor,⁴⁷ suggesting that the increased synchronization with primary sensorimotor cortex might reflect an active involvement of motor cortex rather than field spread from primary somatosensorv cortex.

Additionally, we found that coherence between the VIM and motor cortex in the beta band was inversely correlated with tremor amplitude. A negative association between beta activity and tremor has often been reported for resting tremor in Parkinson's disease.^{33,48} In the case of essential tremor, previous studies have shown a similar negative correlation between beta activity and tremor within the VIM,⁴⁹ and our findings extend this relationship to thalamo-cortical coupling. Voluntary movements are likewise associated with a reduction of beta activity and, together, these results indicate that tremor and voluntary movements might have common underlying mechanisms.⁵⁰

Postural Versus Kinetic Tremor

Action tremor can be divided into different types such as postural and kinetic tremor. These subtypes can cooccur in a single patient. It remains unclear whether the subtypes arise from distinct brain regions.⁵¹ EMGfMRI studies found activation of cerebellum, motor thalamus, and motor cortex in different kinds of action tremor, suggesting that the cerebello-thalamo-cortical circuit is involved in the generation of different types of tremor.^{31,32} Our findings support this idea. However, the cortical distribution of coherence with thalamic activity was more widespread for postural tremor than for kinetic tremor. This may be due to postural tremor occurring simultaneously in both body sides in some patients, whereas kinetic tremor was unilateral.

Limitations

Due to the postoperative stun effect, uni- or bilateral tremor was present in 12 of 19 patients during the HOLD task, the POUR task, or both. While this sample size is small in absolute numbers, it is substantially larger compared to previous studies measuring thalamo-cortical coupling in humans ($N \le 3$).^{10,11}

Further, from a methodological perspective, it would be desirable to match the motor tasks perfectly (eg, HOLD with tremor versus HOLD without tremor or mimicked action tremor versus true action tremor). This was not possible in our cohort because the instruction to keep a static posture or to mimic action tremor inevitably elicits actual tremor.

Finally, we note that shaking extensions can cause artefactual tremor peaks in LFP power and coherence. The topography of MEG-LFP coherence observed here, however, is inconsistent with cable movement.

Conclusions

Recording thalamic and cortical activity simultaneously, we demonstrate that tremor episodes in patients are characterized by synchronized oscillations in the ventral intermediate nucleus of the thalamus, the cerebellum, and sensorimotor cortex, underpinning the role of the cerebello-thalamo-cortical circuit in the pathophysiology of essential tremor.

J.H.: 1B, 1D, 3B, 4B, 4C.

Acknowledgment: Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Financial Disclosures: A.Sc. and J.H. are funded by the Brunhilde Moll Stiftung. Additionally, A.Sc. acknowledges support from the

<sup>Author Roles: (1) Research Project: A. Conceptualization, B. Methodology, C. Visualization, D. Data Acquisition; E. Data Analysis;
(2) Statistical Analysis: A. Design, B. Execution, C. Review and Critique;
(3) Manuscript Preparation: A. Writing of the First Draft, B. Review and Critique;
(4) Other: A. Resources, B. Project Administration, C. Supervision, D. Funding Acquisition.
A.S.: 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E, 3A.
S.S.: 1A, 1D, 3B.
J.V.: 3B, 4A.
A.Sc.: 1A, 3B, 4A, 4D.</sup>

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Project ID 4247788381-TRR 295.

Full Financial Disclosures for the Previous 12 Months: A.Sc. has received consulting fees from Abbott, Zambon, and AbbVie and speaker's honoraria from BSH Medical Communication, Abbott, Kyowa Kirin, Novartis, AbbVie, Alexion, and GE Healthcare. A.S., S.S., M.B., J.V., and J.H. report no disclosures.

Data Availability Statement

Data can be made available in anonymized form upon reasonable request, contingent on patient consent.

References

- Welton T, Cardoso F, Carr JA, Chan L-L, Deuschl G, Jankovic J, et al. Essential tremor. Nat Rev Dis Prim 2021;7(1):83. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41572-021-00314-w
- Scherer M, Steiner LA, Kalia SK, Hodaie M, Kühn AA, Lozano AM, et al. Single-neuron bursts encode pathological oscillations in subcortical nuclei of patients with Parkinson's disease and essential tremor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2022;119(35): e2205881119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2205881119
- Hua SE, Lenz FA. Posture-related oscillations in human cerebellar thalamus in essential tremor are enabled by voluntary motor circuits. J Neurophysiol 2005;93(1):117–127. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn. 00527.2004
- Buijink AWG, van der Stouwe AMM, Broersma M, et al. Motor network disruption in essential tremor: a functional and effective connectivity study. Brain 2015;138(10):2934–2947. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/brain/awv225
- Younger EFP, Ellis EG, Parsons N, et al. Mapping essential tremor to a common brain network using functional connectivity analysis. Neurology 2023;101(15):e1483–e1494. https://doi.org/10.1212/ WNL.000000000207701
- Muthuraman M, Raethjen J, Koirala N, et al. Cerebello-cortical network fingerprints differ between essential, Parkinson's and mimicked tremors. Brain 2018;141(6):1770–1781. https://doi.org/10.1093/ brain/awy098
- Schnitzler A, Münks C, Butz M, Timmermann L, Gross J. Synchronized brain network associated with essential tremor as revealed by magnetoencephalography. Mov Disord 2009;24(11):1629–1635. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.22633
- Muthuraman M, Heute U, Arning K, Anwar AR, Elble R, Deuschl G, Raethjen J. Oscillating central motor networks in pathological tremors and voluntary movements. What makes the difference? Neuroimage 2012;60(2):1331–1339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2012.01.088
- Pan MK, Li YS, Wong SBC, et al. Cerebellar oscillations driven by synaptic pruning deficits of cerebellar climbing fibers contribute to tremor pathophysiology. Sci Transl Med 2020;12(526):eaay1769. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aay1769
- Marsden JF, Ashby P, Limousin-Dowsey P, Rothwell JC, Brown P. Coherence between cerebellar thalamus, cortex and muscle in man: cerebellar thalamus interactions. Brain J Neurol 2000;123(Pt 7): 1459–1470. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/123.7.1459
- He F, Sarrigiannis PG, Billings SA, et al. Nonlinear interactions in the thalamocortical loop in essential tremor: A model-based frequency domain analysis. Neuroscience 2016;324:377–389. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016.03.028
- Bhatia KP, Bain P, Bajaj N, et al. Consensus statement on the classification of tremors. From the task force on tremor of the International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society. Mov Disord 2017;33(1):75–87. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.27121
- Steina A, Sure S, Butz M, Vesper J, Schnitzler A, Hirschmann J. Mapping subcortico-cortical coupling—A comparison of thalamic and subthalamic oscillations. Mov Disord 2024;39(4):684–693. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.29730

- Oostenveld R, Fries P, Maris E, Schoffelen JM. FieldTrip: open source software for advanced analysis of MEG, EEG, and invasive electrophysiological data. Comput Intell Neurosci 2011;2011:1–9.
- Gramfort A. MEG and EEG data analysis with MNE-python. Front Neurosci 2013;7:2677. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2013.002677
- Raethjen J, Lindemann M, Schmaljohann H, Wenzelburger R, Pfister G, Deuschl G. Multiple oscillators are causing parkinsonian and essential tremor. Mov Disord 2000;15(1):84–94. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/1531-8257(200001)15:1<84::AID-MDS1014>3.0.CO;2-K
- Donoghue T, Haller M, Peterson EJ, et al. Parameterizing neural power spectra into periodic and aperiodic components. Nat Neurosci 2020;23(12):1655–1665. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-020-00744-x
- Horn A, Li N, Dembek TA, et al. Lead-DBS v2: towards a comprehensive pipeline for deep brain stimulation imaging. Neuroimage 2019; 184:293–316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.08.068
- Ewert S, Plettig P, Li N, et al. Toward defining deep brain stimulation targets in MNI space: A subcortical atlas based on multimodal MRI, histology and structural connectivity. Neuroimage 2018;170: 271–282. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.05.015
- Van Veen BD, Drongelen W, Yuchtman M, Suzuki A. Localization of brain electrical activity via linearly constrained minimum variance spatial filtering. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 1997;44(9):867–880.
- Oswal A, Cao C, Yeh CH, et al. Neural signatures of hyperdirect pathway activity in Parkinson's disease. Nat Commun 2021;12(1): 5185. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25366-0
- Maris E, Oostenveld R. Nonparametric statistical testing of EEGand MEG-data. J Neurosci Methods 2007;164(1):177–190. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2007.03.024
- Faust PL, McCreary M, Musacchio JB, Kuo SH, Vonsattel JPG, Louis ED. Pathologically based criteria to distinguish essential tremor from controls: analyses of the human cerebellum. Ann Clin Transl Neurol 2024;11:1514–1525. https://doi.org/10.1002/acn3. 52068
- Louis ED, Faust PL. Essential tremor pathology: neurodegeneration and reorganization of neuronal connections. Nat Rev Neurol 2020; 16(2):69–83. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41582-019-0302-1
- Asamoah B, Khatoun A, Mc Laughlin M. tACS motor system effects can be caused by transcutaneous stimulation of peripheral nerves. Nat Commun 2019;10(1):266. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-08183-w
- Cagnan H, Pedrosa D, Little S, et al. Stimulating at the right time: phase-specific deep brain stimulation. Brain 2016;140(1):132–145. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/aww286
- Schreglmann SR, Wang D, Peach RL, et al. Non-invasive suppression of essential tremor via phase-locked disruption of its temporal coherence. Nat Commun 2021;12(1):363. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20581-7
- Riis TS, Losser AJ, Kassavetis P, Moretti P, Kubanek J. Noninvasive modulation of essential tremor with focused ultrasonic waves. J Neural Eng 2024;21(1):016033. https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-2552/ad27ef
- Neudorfer C, Kultas-Ilinsky K, Ilinsky I, et al. The role of the motor thalamus in deep brain stimulation for essential tremor. Neurotherapeutics 2024;21(3):e00313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurot. 2023.e00313
- Tsuboi T, Wong JK, Eisinger RS, et al. Comparative connectivity correlates of dystonic and essential tremor deep brain stimulation. Brain 2021;144(6):1774–1786. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awab074
- Neely KA, Kurani AS, Shukla P, et al. Functional brain activity relates to 0–3 and 3–8 Hz force oscillations in essential tremor. Cereb Cortex 2014;25(11):4191–4202. https://doi.org/10.1093/ cercor/bhu142
- Broersma M, Van Der Stouwe AMM, Buijink AWG, et al. Bilateral cerebellar activation in unilaterally challenged essential tremor. Neuroimage Clin 2016;11:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2015.12.011
- Hirschmann J, Hartmann CJ, Butz M, et al. A direct relationship between oscillatory subthalamic nucleus-cortex coupling and rest tremor in Parkinson's disease. Brain 2013;136(12):3659–3670. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awt271

- Pan MK, Kuo SH. Essential tremor: clinical perspectives and pathophysiology. J Neurol Sci 2022;435:120198. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jns.2022.120198
- van den Berg KRE, Helmich RC. The role of the cerebellum in tremor-evidence from neuroimaging. Tremor Hyperkinetic Mov (N Y) 2021;11:49. https://doi.org/10.5334/tohm.660
- Coenen VA, Sajonz BE, Reinacher PC, Kaller CP, Urbach H, Reisert M. A detailed analysis of anatomical plausibility of crossed and uncrossed streamline rendition of the dentato-rubro-thalamic tract (DRT(T)) in a commercial stereotactic planning system. Acta Neurochir 2021;163(10):2809–2824. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00701-021-04890-4
- Boscolo Galazzo I, Magrinelli F, Pizzini FB, et al. Voxel-based morphometry and task functional magnetic resonance imaging in essential tremor: evidence for a disrupted brain network. Sci Rep 2020; 10(1):15061. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-69514-w
- Nieuwhof F, Toni I, Dirkx MF, et al. Cerebello-thalamic activity drives an abnormal motor network into dystonic tremor. Neuroimage Clin 2022;33:102919. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl. 2021.102919
- Zoons E, Booij J, Nederveen AJ, Dijk JM, Tijssen MAJ. Structural, functional and molecular imaging of the brain in primary focal dystonia—A review. Neuroimage 2011;56(3):1011–1020. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.02.045
- Air EL, Ryapolova-Webb E, de Hemptinne C, et al. Acute effects of thalamic deep brain stimulation and thalamotomy on sensorimotor cortex local field potentials in essential tremor. Clin Neurophysiol 2012;123(11):2232–2238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2012. 04.020
- Halliday D, Conway B, Farmer S, Shahani U, Russell A, Rosenberg J. Coherence between low-frequency activation of the motor cortex and tremor in patients with essential tremor. Lancet 2000;355(9210):1149–1153. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736 (00)02064-X
- 42. Sharifi S, Luft F, Verhagen R, Heida T, Speelman JD, Bour LJ, van Rootselaar A-F. Intermittent cortical involvement in the preservation of tremor in essential tremor. J Neurophysiol 2017;118(5):2628– 2635. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00848.2016
- 43. Hellriegel H, Schulz EM, Siebner HR, Deuschl G, Raethjen JH. Continuous theta-burst stimulation of the primary motor cortex in

essential tremor. Clin Neurophysiol 2012;123(5):1010-1015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2011.08.033

- Helmich RC, Van den Berg KRE, Panyakaew P, et al. Cerebellocortical control of tremor rhythm and amplitude in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 2021;36(7):1727–1729. https://doi.org/10.1002/ mds.28603
- Leodori G, Belvisi D, De Bartolo MI, et al. Re-emergent tremor in Parkinson's disease: the role of the motor cortex. Mov Disord 2020; 35(6):1002–1011. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.28022
- Riskin-Jones HH, Kashanian A, Sparks H, Tsolaki E, Pouratian N. Increased structural connectivity of thalamic stimulation sites to motor cortex relates to tremor suppression. Neuroimage Clin 2021; 30:102628. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2021.102628
- Govindan RB, Raethjen J, Arning K, Kopper F, Deuschl G. Time delay and partial coherence analyses to identify cortical connectivities. Biol Cybern 2006;94(4):262–275. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00422-005-0045-5
- Asch N, Herschman Y, Maoz R, et al. Independently together: subthalamic theta and beta opposite roles in predicting Parkinson's tremor. Brain Commun 2020;2(2):fcaa074. https://doi.org/10.1093/ braincomms/fcaa074
- Basha D, Dostrovsky JO, Lopez Rios AL, Hodaie M, Lozano AM, Hutchison WD. Beta oscillatory neurons in the motor thalamus of movement disorder and pain patients. Exp Neurol 2014;261:782– 790. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2014.08.024
- Schnitzler A, Timmermann L, Gross J. Physiological and pathological oscillatory networks in the human motor system. J Physiol Paris 2006;99(1):3–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphysparis.2005.06.010
- Fanning A, Kuo SH. Clinical heterogeneity of essential tremor: understanding neural substrates of action tremor subtypes. Cerebellum 2023;23(6):2497–2510. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12311-023-01551-3

Supporting Data

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site.