# Liposome Reconstitution and Modulation of Recombinant Prenylated Human Rac1 by GEFs, GDI1 and crossfark Pak1



# Si-Cai Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Lothar Gremer<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Henrike Heise<sup>2,3</sup>, Petra Janning<sup>4</sup>, Aliaksei Shymanets<sup>5</sup>, Ion C. Cirstea<sup>1,6</sup>, Eberhard Krause<sup>7</sup>, Bernd Nürnberg<sup>5</sup>, Mohammad Reza Ahmadian<sup>1</sup>\*

1 Institute of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology II, Medical Faculty of the Heinrich-Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany, 2 Institute of Physical Biology, Heinrich-Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany, 3 Institute of Complex Systems, ICS-6, Research Center Jülich GmbH, Jülich, Germany, 4 Department of Chemical Biology, Max-Planck Institute of Molecular Physiology, Dortmund, Germany, 5 Institute of Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, Tübingen Medical School, Tübingen, Germany, 6 Leibniz Institute for Age Research, Jena, Germany, 7 Laboratory of Mass Spectrometry, Leibniz Institute of Molecular Pharmacology, Berlin, Germany

# Abstract

Small Rho GTPases are well known to regulate a variety of cellular processes by acting as molecular switches. The regulatory function of Rho GTPases is critically dependent on their posttranslational modification at the carboxyl terminus by isoprenylation and association with proper cellular membranes. Despite numerous studies, the mechanisms of recycling and functional integration of Rho GTPases at the biological membranes are largely unclear. In this study, prenylated human Rac1, a prominent member of the Rho family, was purified in large amount from baculovirus-infected Spodoptera frugiperda insect cells using a systematic detergent screening. In contrast to non-prenylated human Rac1 purified from Escherichia coli, prenylated Rac1 from insect cells was able to associate with synthetic liposomes and to bind Rho-specific guanine nucleotide dissociation inhibitor 1 (GDI1). Subsequent liposome reconstitution experiments revealed that GDI1 efficiently extracts Rac1 from liposomes preferentially in the inactive GDP-bound state. The extraction was prevented when Rac1 was activated to its GTP-bound state by Rac-specific guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs), such as Vav2, Dbl, Tiam1, P-Rex1 and TrioN, and bound by the downstream effector Pak1. We found that dissociation of Rac1-GDP from its complex with GDI1 strongly correlated with two distinct activities of especially Dbl and Tiam1, including liposome association and the GDP/GTP exchange. Taken together, our results provided first detailed insights into the advantages of the in vitro liposome-based reconstitution system to study both the integration of the signal transducing protein complexes and the mechanisms of regulation and signaling of small GTPases at biological membranes.

Citation: Zhang S-C, Gremer L, Heise H, Janning P, Shymanets A, et al. (2014) Liposome Reconstitution and Modulation of Recombinant Prenylated Human Rac1 by GEFs, GDI1 and Pak1. PLoS ONE 9(7): e102425. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102425

Editor: Ed Manser, Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology (IMCB), Singapore

Received April 17, 2014; Accepted June 18, 2014; Published July 11, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Zhang et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability: The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings are fully available without restriction. All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This research was gratefully supported in part by the German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft or DFG) through the Collaborative Research Center 974 (SFB 974) "Communication and Systems Relevance during Liver Injury and Regeneration", and the International NRW Research School BioStruct, granted by the Ministry of Innovation, Science and Research of the State North Rhine-Westphalia, the Heinrich-Heine-University of Düsseldorf, and the Entrepreneur Foundation at the Heinrich-Heine-University of Düsseldorf. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* Email: reza.ahmadian@uni-duesseldorf.de

# Introduction

The Rho family GTPases are known to play an important role in diverse cellular processes and progression of different diseases, such as cardiovascular diseases, developmental and neurological disorders, as well as in tumor invasion and metastasis [1]. Rho GTPases share two common functional characteristics, membrane anchorage and an on/off switch cycle [2,3].

Subcellular localization of Rho GTPases to different cellular membranes is known to be critical for their biological activity. This is achieved by a hypervariable region (HVR) [4] and a lipid anchor in their C-terminal tail at a distinct cysteine residue in the CAAX motif (C is cysteine, A is any aliphatic amino acid, and X is any amino acid) [2,5,6,7]. In addition to either geranylgeranylation or farnesylation at the CAAX motif, some members of the Rho family, such as Rac1, require the C-terminal polybasic region and palmitoylation, essential for plasma membrane targeting and interaction with multiple lipids [8,9].

Rho GTPase function is dependent on the guanine nucleotidebinding (G) domain that contains the principle binding center for GDP and GTP and binds depending on its nucleotide-bound state various regulators and effectors [3]. Thus, membrane-associated Rho GTPases act, with some exceptions [10], as molecular switches by cycling between an inactive GDP-bound state and an active GTP-bound state [10]. This cycle underlies two critical intrinsic functions, the GDP-GTP exchange and GTP hydrolysis [10] and is controlled by at least three classes of regulatory proteins [3]: (i) Guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs), especially those of the diffuse B-cell lymphoma (Dbl) family, which catalyze the exchange of GDP to GTP and activate the GTPase [11,12]; ii)

GTPase activating proteins (GAPs), which enhance the GTP hydrolysis and convey the GTPase in its inactive conformation [13,14]; (iii) Guanine nucleotide dissociation inhibitors (GDIs), which bind to prenylated Rho GTPases and extract them from the membranes into the cytoplasm [15,16,17]. The formation of the active GTP-bound state of Rho GTPases is accompanied by a conformational change in two regions (known as switch I and II; [3] which provide a platform for the selective interaction with structurally and functionally diverse effectors, *e.g.* p21-activated kinase  $\alpha$  (Pak1). This class of proteins activate a wide variety of downstream signaling cascades [18,19,20] thereby regulating many important physiological and pathophysiological processes in eukaryotic cells [21,22].

The last decades in research of small GTPases under cell-free conditions were prevalently dominated by non-membranous systems such as soluble, mostly C-terminally truncated GTPases as well as shortened regulatory and effector proteins, mostly comprised of either the minimal catalytically active regulatory domains (GAPs, GEFs) or, in the case of effectors, the GTPasebinding domains (GBDs). Since the basic molecular mechanism of GTPase regulation and effector interaction is largely elucidated, it is in fact necessary now to move from these simplified soluble systems to more physiological and complex systems, *i.e.* multi-domain binding proteins acting on prenylated GTPases bound to the lipid membranes, the site at which they normally achieve their function in cells. A crucial prerequisite is, therefore, the availability of large quantities of purified, posttranslationally modified GTPases. Several different strategies have been developed to obtain lipid-modified proteins. It has been shown that Cdc42 purified from human platelets and insect cells can be extracted from the liposomes by RhoGDI1 (called here GDI1) [23,24]. Rac1 alone was purified from insect cells by using detergents [25,26]. Robbe et al. have purified prenvlated Rac1 in complex with GDI1 that stabilized Rac1 in aqueous solution [27,28]. A similar strategy was used for the purification and structural determination of the Cdc42·GDP·GDI1 complex as well as of RhoA [29,30]. Ugolev et al. have used an enzymatic method to modify Rac1 in vitro by using geranylgeranyl transferase I [31]. They have shown that Rac1 dissociated from GDI1 by the cooperative action of RacGEFs and phosphatidylinositol (3, 4, 5)-trisphosphate (PIP3) containing liposomes. Gureasko et al. have directly attached Ras covalently to liposomes by using chemical cross linking [32]. The latter strategy avoids difficulties inherent in purifying lipid-modified proteins but is not useful for extraction experiments of membrane-bound GTPases using GDIs [27] or, alternatively, the  $\delta$  subunit of phosphodiesterase (PDE $\delta$ ) [33,34,35]. A large number of studies have utilized the advantage of a chemical ligation of a synthesized, lipidated Cterminal peptide with the purified G domain of different small GTPases [36], such as Rab proteins [37,38,39], K-Ras [35] and RhoA [17]. However, the question of how naturally modified, liposome-bound small GTPases, e.g. Rac1, interact with their regulators and effectors remains to be unveiled.

In this study we established a novel protocol for the extraction and purification of recombinant, prenylated, functionally active human Rac1 using a baculovirus-insect cell expression system and a detergent screening. Subsequently, *in vitro* liposome reconstitution studies were performed to gain insights into the Rac1 association with liposomes of different lipid compositions, and the extraction of Rac1 by GDI1. Rac1 extraction was prevented by a GEF-mediated Rac1 activation and Pak1 interaction.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### Constructs

Human *Rac1* (GenBank accession no. NM\_006908.4) was subcloned into pFastBacHTB vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and fused with an N-terminal hexa-histidine (6xHis) tag. For bacterial expression, full-length *Rac1* and *GD11* (GenBank accession no. D13989) were cloned into pGEX-4T1 vector. DHPH constructs of human *Vav2* (aa 168–543), human *Dbl* (aa 498–825), *TrioN* (aa 1226–1535), murine *Tiam1* (aa 1033–1404), human *P-Rex1* (aa 34–415), and human *Pak1*-GBD (aa 57–141) have been reported before [11,40].

#### Antibodies, media and reagents

Anti-His-tag (mouse), anti-Rac (mouse), anti-E-cadherin (rabbit), anti-GAPDH (rabbit), anti-histone H3 (rabbit), anti-α-tubulin (rabbit), anti-rabbit IgG (goat), anti-mouse IgG conjugated with Alexa Fluor<sup>®</sup> 488 (goat), anti-Rabbit IgG conjugated with Alexa Fluor<sup>®</sup> 594 (goat) were purchased from Invitrogen (Oregon, USA); anti-mouse IgG was obtained from Dako (rabbit, California, USA). GDP and a non-hydrolyzable GTP analogue, guanosine 5'- $[\beta,\gamma-imido]$ triphosphate (GppNHp), were obtained from Jena Bioscience GmbH (Jena, Germany). TC100 insect cell media, fetal bovine serum, antibiotics (penicillin and streptomycin) and 10% Pluronic F-68 were obtained from PAN-Biotech GmbH (Aidenbach, Germany). Phosphatidylserine (PS), Phosphatidylcholine (PC), phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) and sphingomyelin (SM), phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2), and Folch I and Folch III brain lipid extracts were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Munich, Germany). PIP<sub>3</sub> is from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). All other standard reagents, including detergents (Table S1 in File S1) were obtained from Carl Roth GmbH (Karlsruhe, Germany) or Merck-Millipore (Darmstadt, Germany).

#### Baculoviruses and insect cell culture

Human *Rac1* gene subcloned into pFastBacHTB vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was transformed into DH10BAC strain. Agar plates containing kanamycin, gentamycin, tetracycline, X-gal and isopropyl- $\beta$ -D-thiogalactoside were used to select recombinant *Rac1* clones. The *Rac1*-positive clones underwent two more purification steps before recombinant *Rac1* bacmid were extracted. The baculoviruses (passage 1) were generated by infecting *Sf*9 insect cells using recombinant *Rac1* bacmids. Viruses were ready to use for large scale Rac1 expression after two more amplification steps (passages 2 and 3).

Sf9 were cultured in TC-100 medium, containing 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin, streptomycin and pluronic F-68 solution at 27°C. The titer of baculoviruses was determined by the ITCD<sub>50</sub> method [41,42]. The multiplicity of infection (MOI) and Rac1 expression time were optimized by infecting the Sf9 cells at different MOIs and different culture time points. Samples of infected cells (1 ml) were harvested; the cell pellets were lysed in Laemmli buffer, containing 60 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 5% β-mercaptoethanol, 0.01% bromophenol blue and analyzed by immunoblotting using an anti-His-tag antibody.

## Confocal laser scanning microscopy (cLSM)

Insect cells were fixed with acetone/methanol (1:1) at 24 hours after seeding in 12 well plates. Cells were incubated first with antibodies against Rac1 and  $\alpha$ -tubulin and then with secondary anti-mouse and anti-rabbit antibodies conjugated with Alexa Fluor<sup>®</sup> 488 and Alexa Fluor<sup>®</sup> 594 as well as DAPI (Danvers, USA). All steps were carried out at room temperature. Specimens were

visualized and photographed using a confocal laser scanning microscope (LSM510META; Zeiss, Jena, Germany).

#### Cell fractionation

Sf9 cells were harvested, resuspended in a buffer, containing 10 mM HEPES-NaOH pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 mM KCl, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 1 tablet EDTA-free protease inhibitors (Roche, Mannheim, Germany), and disrupted under detergent-free conditions using pre-chilled Dounce homogenizer for 20 strokes with a tight pestle. Disrupted cells were centrifuged at 300xg for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant was removed and centrifuged at 50000xg for 2 h at 4°C to separate the membrane (pellet) and the cytosolic fractions (supernatant). The pellet, containing enriched nuclei, was resuspended in 0.25 mM sucrose, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and a cushion of 0.88 mM sucrose and 0.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> was laid over. This sample was centrifuged at 2800xg for 10 min at 4°C to obtain the nuclear pellet. Protein samples from different fractions were analyzed by immunoblotting. Anti-Rac1 antibody was used to detect distribution of recombinant human Rac1 in all the fractions. Antibodies against E-cadherin, GAPDH and Histone H3 were used as marker for membrane, cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions, respectively.

#### Detergent screening

Eighteen different detergents (Table S1 in File S1) were used to extract Rac1 from the membrane fraction of Sf9 insect cells. Detergents were used at 20% (w/v) stock solution in buffer, containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10% glycerol, 20 mM  $\beta$ -glycerolphosphate, 1 mM ortho-Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub> and 1 tablet EDTA-free inhibitor cocktail. The detergents at 1% and 0.5% (w/v) final concentrations were added into the suspension of membrane fractions, containing recombinant human Rac1. The mixtures were incubated at room temperature for 30 min and centrifuged at 20000xg for 10 min. The pellets and small amounts of supernatants were used further for pull-down assays with glutathione S-transferase (GST)-GDI1.

#### Thin layer chromatography

To check the lipid composition of the liposomes thin-layer chromatography was conducted using a thin layer chromatography plate (silica,  $20 \times 20$  cm; Macherey-Nagel GmbH, Düren, Germany) and a chloroform/methanol/water/acetic acid (60:50:4:1) as eluting solvent system. Lipids were detected by molybdophosphoric acid spray.

#### Protein purification and nucleotide exchange

Large scale Rac1 expression was conducted according to the established protocol described above. Sf9 insect cells were inoculated at a density of  $1.5 \times 10^6$  cells/ml under optimized MOI and culture time. Cells were resuspended in lysis buffer, containing 50 mM HEPES-NaOH pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.1 mM GDP, 10 mM imidazole and the optimized detergents according to the screening procedure described above. Cells were disrupted by sonication in ice-water mixture. Supernatants were collected by centrifugation and loaded on a Ni-NTA Superflow column (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). High salt buffer (50 mM HEPES-NaOH pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.1 mM GDP, 10 mM imidazole, 350 mM KCl and 1 mM ATP) was used to remove impurities from the target proteins. Rac1 protein was eluted using an imidazole gradient ranging from 10 to 500 mM. The protein solution was concentrated and further purified on a Superdex 75 column (10/300 GL, GE-Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) with 50 mM HEPES-NaOH pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM DTT, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 0.5% (w/ v) Na-cholate as buffer system. GppNHp-bound Rac1 proteins as well as human GDI1, RacGEFs, Pak1, full length and C-terminal truncated Rac1 proteins were prepared from *E. coli* as described previously [11,43].

#### Pull-down assay

GST-fused human GDI1 bound to glutathione beads was used to pull-down prenylated Rac1 from supernatants in the detergent screening procedure. Because of a detergent-induced nucleotide depletion of Rac1 it was important to determine the content of GDP-bound Rac1 proteins. The respective supernatants and beads were mixed and rotated at 4°C for 30 min. Samples were centrifuged at 500xg for 30 sec. Beads were washed three times using the buffers described above and containing the corresponding detergents. The beads and supernatant were analyzed by immunoblotting using anti-Rac antibody.

#### Liposome preparation

Liposome assays were performed by mixing and incubating the liposomes and purified Rac1 proteins. The mixtures were incubated for different time points and centrifuged at different speeds to separate the liposome pellets and supernatants for optimizing the centrifuging force. The liposomes were prepared as described previously [44]. Briefly, a lipid mixture (194 µg), containing 39% (w/w) PE, 16% (w/w) PC, 36% (w/w) PS, 4% (w/w) SM, and 5% (w/w) PIP2 or PIP3, was dried using light nitrogen stream. Obtained lipid film was hydrated with 300 µl of a buffer, containing 30 mM HEPES-NaOH pH 7.4, 50 mM NaCl, 3 mM DTT, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>. Sonication (20 s with minimal power, 50% off and 50% on) was employed finally to form liposomes. Folch I and Folch III brain lipids extracts were prepared in methanol and chloroform at a concentration of 25 mg/ml. Folch I contains different phosphoinositides, PS, and cerebrosides in a ratio of 1:5:4 [45]. Folch III is composed of 80% PS, 10% PE, 5% cerebrosides and 5% unidentified membrane lipids [46]. Folch I or Folch III liposomes (250  $\mu$ g, respectively) were prepared under the same conditions in 300 µl of the HEPES buffer. Liposomes with increasing PS or PC were prepared by either PS/PE or PC/PE to analyze lipid composition of Folch III. PC was used as control. Total lipids used for each liposome preparation were constantly 250  $\mu$ g in 300  $\mu$ l buffer.

# Results

# Subcellular localization of human Rac1 overexpressed in insect cells

The baculovirus-Spodoptera frugiperda (Sf9) insect cell expression system was used to express and purify human Rac1 in a prenylated form. In order to obtain optimal Rac1 expression, the tissue culture infectious dose 50 (TCID<sub>50</sub>) method was utilized to determine titers of the baculovirus stocks as described before [41,42]. A striking characteristic of baculovirus-infected Sf9 cells is the so-called cytopathic effect, which is observed as a reduction of cell numbers and swollen cell size depending on the extent of infection as compared to the non-infected, highly confluent culture (Fig. S1A in File S1). Sf9 cells were next infected at different MOIs and culture time length. Increasing amounts of baculovirus resulted in a slight, dose-dependent increase in Rac1 expression with a peak around 36 and 48 h post-infection, especially at a MOI of 4 or 5 (Fig. S1B in File S1). Confocal imaging analysis revealed that human Rac1 is predominantly localized at the

plasma membrane (Fig. S1C in File S1). Cell fractionation experiments showed that Rac1 was mainly found in the membrane and endoplasmic reticulum-enriched nuclear fractions (Fig. S1D in File S1). These data clearly show that human Rac1 produced in insect cells exhibits similar characteristics as compared to endogenous Rac1 in mammalian cells, such as mouse embryonic fibroblasts and HeLa [47], regarding its cellular distribution [48].

# Detergent extraction and purification of prenylated human Rac1 overexpressed in insect cells

An important issue to be considered for the extraction of nucleotide-bound, prenylated human Rac1 from Sf9 membrane fractions was the choice of an appropriate detergent. First attempts using deoxycholate and cholate as detergents were not successful. The former did not solubilize Rac1, while the latter did extract Rac1 but considerable amounts of extracted Rac1 proteins were depleted of their bound nucleotide (data not shown) indicating partial unfolding upon cholate treatment. It is of importance to note that a stoichiometric ratio of bound GDP is mandatory to avoid aggregation and precipitation of penylated Rac1, which means that the GDP-bound state must be monitored at every purification step, including detergent extraction from the cell membrane. Therefore, we tested sixteen additional detergents regarding their properties to extract fully functional Rac1 from the insect cell membrane fractions (Table S1 in File S1). Considering that the high amounts of detergent may also impair the quality of proteins, we used two different detergent concentrations (0.5% and 1% (w/v), respectively). Figure 1A illustrates a workflow with the corresponding steps of Rac1 extraction from the membrane and its pull-down by GST-GDI1. Seven detergents, i.e. Triton X-100, Triton X-114, Igepal CA 630, CHAPS, n-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside, Zwittergent 3-12 and Zwittergent 3-14 extracted similar amounts Rac1 from the membrane fraction at 0.5 and 1% concentrations (see supernatant fractions S1 in Fig. 1B, upper panel). In contrast, higher concentrations (1%) of cholate, n-octyl-β-D-glucopyranoside, n-nonyl-β-D-glucopyranoside, n-octyl-β-D-thioglucopyranoside, Zwittergent 3-10 and Zwittergent 3-16 were required to quantitatively extract Rac1 (Fig. 1B, upper panel). Tween 20, nhexyl-\beta-D-glucopyranoside, n-heptyl-\beta-D-glucopyranoside and Zwittergent 3-08 were not useful at any concentrations (see pellet fractions P1 in Fig. 1B, upper panel).

After Rac1 was solubilized into the S1 fractions, purified GST fusion protein GST-GDI1 was employed to assess the functionality of soluble Rac1 in pull-down (PD) experiments, since only prenylated and GDP-bound Rac1 proteins are useful to study the RhoGDI interaction. From the seven detergents described above, CHAPS at 0.5% revealed the best property in extracting Rac1 from the insect cell membrane in its native, GDP-bound state (see P2 in Fig. 1B, lower panel). Almost all Rac1 proteins from the supernatant 1 (S1) were pulled down. In contrast, considerable amounts of Rac1 extracted by the other six detergents (Triton X-100, Triton X-114, Igepal CA 630, n-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside, Zwittergent 3–12 and Zwittergent 3–14) remained in the S2 fraction indicating that these Rac1 proteins are nucleotide-depleted or in incorrect conformation and thus inactive in binding to GST-GDI1 (Fig. 1B, lower panel).

Taken together, CHAPS displayed the two criteria required for further studies, namely to quantitatively solubilize Rac1 from insect cell membranes and to fully retain the GDI-binding activity of Rac1. Accordingly, 0.5% CHAPS was used to extract Rac1 from the membrane before successively applying the protein solution on two chromatography columns (Ni-NTA and size exclusion, respectively), in order to purify human Rac1 from insect cells (called from now  $\operatorname{Racl}^{1c}$ ) at high quantities. Mass spectrometric analysis of intact  $\operatorname{Racl}^{1c}$ , compared to human  $\operatorname{Racl}$  full length purified from *E. coli* ( $\operatorname{Racl}^{Ec}$ ), revealed a fully modified protein by geranylgeranylation with a modified most likely phosphorylated population (Fig. S2 in File S1).

# Human Rac1 purified from insect cells associates with liposomes

To analyze the membrane-binding properties of Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> synthetic liposomes were prepared and sedimentation experiments were conducted according to the workflow illustrated in Figure S3A in File S1. To setup Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> sedimentation by the liposomes various conditions were tested and optimized. One aspect was the incubation time after mixing  $Rac1^{Ic}$  with liposomes. Under the given conditions a weak binding of Racl<sup>1c</sup> to the liposomes was observed, which was not significantly changed with increasing incubation time (Fig. S3B in File S1). We next analyzed the sedimentation force to avoid disruption of Rac1<sup>1c</sup>-liposome interactions by incubating the samples for 30 min and using different centrifugation speeds to spin down the liposomes. Figure S3C in File S1 shows that increasing sedimentation force from 20,000xg to 60,000xg led to dissociation of Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> from the liposomes suggesting that the sedimentation force should not exceed 20,000xg. In the next step we varied the ratio of Rac1  $(1.5 \ \mu g)$  and liposomes (10 to 60  $\mu$ l), and found out that as lower the ratio of Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> to liposome is as larger are the Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> amounts associated with the liposomes (Fig. S3D in File S1). The data clearly indicate that mixing of  $1.5 \ \mu g \ Rac 1^{Ic}$  with 20  $\mu l$  liposomes for 20 min and centrifuging the sample at 20,000xg for 30 min provides optimal conditions for Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> sedimentation with liposomes, which are used in following experiments.

The question of whether the lipid compositions of the liposomes may affect the liposome association of Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> was next addressed using the optimized conditions described above. Data shown in Figure S3E in File S1 reveal that Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>-liposome interaction was only marginally affected upon depletion of the liposomes by individual phospholipids, especially PS and PIP2, by comparing the amounts of Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> in the supernatants. As a control, we used Rac1<sup>Ec</sup>, which does not bind to the liposomes at all (Fig. S3E in File S1). Taken together, our data clearly demonstrate that Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> is a lipidated protein and fulfills all criteria for the subsequent *in vitro* liposome reconstitution analysis.

# GDI1 interacts with and extracts Rac1<sup>lc</sup> from liposomes

GDI1 is reported to solubilize Rac1 in living cells and inhibit GDP dissociation from Rac1 [23], for which a C-terminal geranylgeranylation of Rac1 is required [48]. Therefore, we examined the properties of Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> interaction with liposomes and GDI1 by combining liposome sedimentation and GST-GDI1 pulldown assays. As controls,  $Rac1^{Ec}$  was used. In addition, we prepared also inactive GDP-bound and stable active GppNHpbound forms of the Rac1 proteins. GppNHp is a non-hydrolysable analogue of GTP. As shown in Figure 2A, GST-GDI1 pulled down only  $\operatorname{Racl}^{\operatorname{Ic}}$  but neither  $\operatorname{Racl}^{\operatorname{Ec}}$ . Data obtained from the immunoblotting analysis of the supernatant and pellet fractions after liposome sedimentation showed that equal amounts of Rac1<sup>1c</sup> in GDP-bound and GppNHp-bound states were associated with the liposomes (Fig. 2B). Under these conditions, we did not observe any liposome binding of Rac1<sup>Ec</sup>. Association of Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>, but not Rac1<sup>*Ec*</sup>, with both GDI1 and liposomes clearly support the mass spectrometric data and proved that human Rac1 purified from insect cells is posttranslationally modified by geranylgeranylation.



**Figure 1. Detergent screening for optimal extraction of GDP-bound Rac1 from the insect cell membrane.** (A) Schematic workflow for the isolation of insect cell membrane fraction, detergent extraction and pull-down assay using GST-GDI1. (B) Effects of eighteen various detergents on Rac1 extraction from the membrane fraction of insect cells (upper panel) and inspection of Rac1 prenylation *via* pull-down with GST-GDI1 (lower panel). Membrane fractions mixed with two different concentrations (0.5% and 1%) of the respective detergents (Table S1 in File S1) were incubated at room temperature for 30 min, separated in supernatants (S1) and pellets (P1) by centrifugation and immunoblotted using anti-Rac1 antibody. The Supernatants S1 were used in pull-down assays (PD) by using GST-GDI1, which selectively binds to the intact, nucleotide-bound Rac1. Resulted pellets (P2, corresponding to the GSH beads) and supernatant (S2) were visualized by anti-Rac1 antibody in immunoblots. Underlined detergents, especially CHAPS, showed the best properties in the extraction of GDP-bound Rac1 from the insect cell membranes. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102425.q001

GDI1 is known to extract inactive, GDP-bound Rho GTPases, such as Rac1, from membranes and hold them in a complex in the cvtosol away from their sites of action at membranes [16,23,49,50]. To test this issue on liposomes in vitro in more detail, we performed two types of experiments. In the first approach, liposomes, GDI1 and Rac proteins were mixed together and incubated for 20 min at room temperature. Subsequently, the samples were centrifuged at 20,000xg for 30 min, and the respective supernatants and liposome pellets were immunoblotted using an anti-Rac antibody. The majority of the Rac1<sup>1c</sup> proteins remained in the supernatant most likely in complex with GDI1 regardless of the nature of the bound nucleotide (Fig. 2C). Only a trace amount of Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> protein, especially the GppNHp-bound form, was found in the liposome fraction. These data shows that GDI1 dominantly competes with the liposomes in binding  $Rac1^{1c}$ . In the second approach, we firstly prepared Rac1<sup>1c</sup>-bound liposomes under the same condition as in the previous experiment but in the absence of GDI1, then mixed the sample with GDI1 and performed the liposome sedimentation experiment again. Figure 2D shows that GDI1 is able to extract Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> from liposomes preferentially in the GDP-bound from. To further prove this observation we repeated these experiments using increasing concentrations of GDI1 of 2- to 20-fold molar excess above Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>, associated with liposomes. A 2-fold excess of GDI1 was sufficient to displace all "extractable" GDP-bound Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> from the liposomes (Fig. 2E). In contrast, about 10-fold larger amounts of GDI1 were required to extract Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>-GppNHp from the liposomes (Figs. 2E). Taken together, our result clearly demonstrates that the majority of the membrane-bound Rac1 protein is extracted by GDI1 and remains GDI1 associated.

# Rac1<sup>lc</sup> activation counteracts its extraction from the liposomes by GDI1

We have shown above that GDI1 also binds Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>-GppNHp and extracts it from the liposomes (Fig. 2). To examine the interrelationship of this interaction, we conducted a series of liposome sedimentation experiments in the presence of the GTPase-binding domain (GBD) of Pak1 (called here Pak1). Mixing the Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> proteins with liposomes, GDI1 and Pak1, respectively, revealed that Pak1 did neither influence the association of the GDP-bound Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> proteins with the liposomes nor with GDI1 (Fig. 3A, lane 5). This data were comparable to the conditions when GDI1 was present and Pak1 absent (Fig. 2C, lane1). In contrast, Pak1 strongly counteracted a GDI1-mediated displacement of GppNHp-bound Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> from the liposomes (Fig. 2C, lane2 and Fig. 3A, lane 6). In the next experiments we used liposome-associated Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> proteins and showed that GDI1 extracted Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> in both nucleotide-bound states from the liposomes in the absence of Pak1 (Fig. 3B, lane 1 and 2). Addition of Pak1 efficiently blocked GDI1-driven Rac1 extraction of GppNHp-bound Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> from the liposomes (Fig. 3B, lane 4) but not that of the GDP-bound Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> (Fig. 3B, lane 3). In agreement with the structural data [3,28,51,52], our results suggest that Pak1



**Figure 2. Nucleotide-independent extraction of Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> from the liposomes by GD11.** (**A**) GST-GD11 pull-down of Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> but not of Rac1<sup>Ec</sup>. Input is the total mixture of beads and proteins, and output is the pull-down (PD). (**B**) Liposome binding of Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> but not of Rac1<sup>Ec</sup>. In the liposome sedimentation assay, Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> efficiently binds to liposomes in the absence of GD11 and independent of whether it was loaded with GDP or GppNHp, a non-hydrolysable GTP analog. Rac1<sup>Ec</sup> failed to bind to liposomes under the same conditions. (**C**) Preferential binding Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> to GD11 than to liposomes. GD11 binds to both GDP-bound and GppNHp-bound Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> proteins and prevents their association with the liposomes. (**D**, **E**) GD11 efficiently extracted GDP-bound Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> from the liposomes and to a lower extend also Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>-GppNHp. Same amount of GDP-bound and GppNHp-bound forms of Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> associated with the liposomes were prepared before incubation with 5-fold molar excess of GD11 and sedimentation at 20,000xg (**D**). Using increasing molar excess of GD11 (2-, 5-, 10-, 15- and 20-fold) showed that higher concentrations of GD11 are required to extract Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>-GppNHp from the liposomes to supernatants in comparison to Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>-GDP (**E**). CBB, coomassie brilliant blue; *Ec*, *E. coli*; Ic, insect cells; P, liposome pellet; S, supernatant.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102425.g002

binding to the switch regions of active Rac1 competitively blocks the GDI1 association with the same regions of Rac1. We next set out to analyze  $Rac1^{Ic}$  activation on the liposomes in

We next set out to analyze Rac1<sup>1c</sup> activation on the liposomes in the presence and in the absence of Pak1 and GDI1. We first prepared GDP-bound Rac1<sup>1c</sup> associated with liposomes, which were then incubated with free GppNHp and the DHPH domains of the RacGEF Tiam1 to accelerate the nucleotide exchange of Rac1<sup>1c</sup>, leading to membrane bound Rac1<sup>1c</sup>-GppNHp. GST-DHPH of Tiam1 as a minimal RacGEF protein contains the catalytic (Dbl homology or DH) and the lipid membrane binding (pleckstrin homology or PH) domains. GST-Pak1 was mixed in the samples as a marker for activated Rac1<sup>1c</sup> as it selectively binds to the active, GppNHp-bound state of Rac1 [53]. After incubation, the mixture was spun down and GST fusion in the pellet was visualized by immunobloting using anti-GST antibody. Results shown in Figure 3C revealed that Pak1 could be detected predominatantly in the liposome pellet only when both Tiam1 and GppNHp were present. In addition, DHPH was also detected in the pellet fraction. These data clearly indicate that Tiaml DHPH was able to activate  $Rac1^{Ic}$  on the liposomes.

The next question we addressed was the ratio of soluble and liposome-bound Rac1 in the presence of GDI1, the RacGEF Tiam1 and the Rac effector Pak1. The majority of GDP-bound Rac1 appeared in complex with GDI1 (Fig. 3D, lane 1) indicating again that GDI1 efficiently extract Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> from the liposomes. The picture slightly changed when the experiment was repeated also in the presence of Pak1 and GppNHp (Fig. 3D, lane 2) or Pak1 and Tiam1 (Fig. 3D, lane 3). There was, however, a significant limitation of the GDI-mediated Rac1 extraction from the liposomes observable when all components were in the sample (Fig. 3D, lane 4). This clearly demonstrates that a Tiam1-mediated exchange of the bound GDP for GppNHp resulted in the Rac1<sup>1c</sup>-GppNHp-Pak1 complex formation on the liposomes as shown by Pak1 blotting (Fig. 3D, lane 4). This significantly blocked GDI1 association with and extraction of Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> from the liposomes. This result suggests that Rac1 activation by Tiam1 largely counteracted



**Figure 3. Pak1 binding to activated Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> counteracting its extraction from the liposomes by GD11. (A)** Pak1 interferes with GD11 binding to GppNHp-bound Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> and potentiates Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>-GppNHp association with the liposomes. An excess amount of 20-fold of Pak1 was used in liposome sedimentation assay. (B) Competitive inhibition of the GD11-mediated Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>-GppNHp extraction from the liposomes by Pak1. (C) Tiam1-mediated Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> activation on the liposomes. Liposome-bound Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>-GDP was incubated with Pak1 in the presence or absence of Tiam1-DHPH and an excess of GppNHp. Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> activation on liposome was evaluated by detecting Pak1 in the pellet, which is bound to Tiam1-activated Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>. (D) Tiam1-mediated Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> activation on the liposomes in the presence of GD11. (E) Partial displacement from the GD11 complex, activation by Tiam1 and association of Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> with liposomes and Pak1. CBB, coomassie brilliant blue; *Ec, E. coli*; Ic, insect cells; P, liposome pellet; S, supernatant. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102425.q003

the extraction of Rac1 from the liposomes by RhoGDI and shifts Rac1 towards a signaling-competent state.

However, the scenario substantially changes when Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>-GDP was not liposome-bound, like in the previous experiments, but in the complex with GDI (Fig. 3E). Under this condition, the presence of Tiam1, GppNHp and Pak1 was required to significantly release Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>-GDP from its GDI complex, to catalyze the nucleotide exchange by Tiam1 and to generate a liposome-bound Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>-GppNHp-Pak1 complex. This result clearly indicate that Tiam1 and Pak1 are certainly able to quantitatively displace the Rac1-GDP-GDI complex.

# Rac1<sup>IC</sup> activation by liposome-associating RacGEFs

The RhoGEFs of the Dbl family have been commonly implicated as lipid membrane binding modules [54]. The experiments described above have shown that Tiam1 DHPH activates liposome-bound Rac1, which can be atributed to the lipid membrane-binding PH domain [27,55,56]. Recently, we have shown that in addition to Tiam1 also Vav2, P-Rex1, Dbl, and TrioN are Rac1-specific GEFs [11]. These experiments have been performed under cell-free conditions in the absence of liposomes using nonprenylated Rac1 protein. Prior to the analysis of these Dbl proteins towards Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>, we analyzed their liposomebinding properties using the respective GST-DHPH proteins expressed and purified from E. coli. Therefore, we used four different types of liposomes, synthetic liposomes comprising in addition to PS, PC, PE and SM, either PIP2 (Lipo+PIP2) or PIP3 (Lipo<sup>+PIP3</sup>), as well liposomes derived from bovine brain type I and III Folch membrane lipids (see Materials and Methods). Figure 4A shows different liposome-binding capabilities of the five different Dbl proteins. Vav2, Dbl and P-Rex1 differently bound to all types of liposomes. TrioN and Tiam1 were hardly detected in Lipo+PIP2 but differently bound to the other liposomes. Interestingly, Tiam1 tightly bound to Folch III liposomes.



**Figure 4. Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> activation on liposomes by different Dbl family proteins and association with Pak1. (A)** Differential binding of Vav2, Dbl, TrioN, Tiam1 and P-Rex1 to various liposomes. Lipo<sup>+PIP2</sup> is composed of PE, PC, PS, SM and PIP2. In Lipo<sup>+PIP3</sup>, PIP2 is replaced by PIP3. (B) Efficient Rac1 activation by Dbl and Vav2 on Lipo<sup>+PIP2</sup>. (C) Displacement from the GD11 complex, activation by GEFs and association of Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> with liposomes and Pak1. (D) Tiam1 but not Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> binding to Folch III. Rac1GDP, Pak1, Tiam1, GppNHp and different liposomes were preincubated, afterwards the liposome sedimentation assay was conducted. Rac1, PAK $\alpha$  and Tiam1 from the liposome pellet were detected by GST antibody. (E) Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> repulsion by PC but not PS. Liposomes (PS and PE or PC and PE) at increasing amounts of PS and PC were sedimented after incubation with Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>. (F) Thin layer chromatography of Folch I and Folch III liposomes. Relative lipid content of Folch I and Folch III liposomes was analyzed by thin layer *P*, Pis and PC as well as Folch III containing PS and PS were used as controls. CBB, coomassie brilliant blue; *Ec, E. coli*; Ic, insect cells; P, liposome pellet; S, supernatant. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102425.q004

The capacity of the five Rac-specific Dbl proteins in the Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> activation on the Lipo<sup>+PIP2</sup> was next determined under the same conditions as described above (Figs. 3C and 3D). Consistent with their liposome binding pattern Vav2 and Dbl revealed the highest RacGEF activities shown as largest amount of Pak1 sedimented with activated, GppNHp-bound Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> (Fig. 4B). P-Rex1, Tiam1 and TrioN activated Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> to a lower extent (Fig. 4B), particularly TrioN, corresponding to their liposome binding capabilities (Fig. 4A). These data suggest that as stronger the respective Dbl protein interact with the liposomes as higher is its accessibility to Rac1. We next examined the capability of Vav2 and Dbl in displacing and activating Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> from its complex with GDI1. Therefore, we mixed Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>-GDP-GDI1 with PIP2-containing liposomes, Pak1, GppNHp and the DHPH domains of Vav2, Dbl or Tiam1, respectively, and conducted liposome sedimentation. Dbl DHPH displaced and activated Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> most efficiently as compared to Tiam1 and Vav2, which is visualized by a significant amount of Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>-GppNHp-Pak1 complex on the liposomes (Fig. 4C). Unexpectedly, this observation was not confirmed for Vav2, although a considerable amount of DHPH and Pak1 was sedimented with the liposomes (Fig. 4C). This result suggests that Dbl and Tiam1, but however not Vav2, contribute to displacement of Rac1 from the GDI complex by shifting the reaction towards active, GTP-bound Rac1 that is prepared for effector interaction and thus downstream signaling.

Tight Tiam1-binding to Folch III liposomes (Fig. 4A) prompted us to determine Tiam1 GEF activity on all four types of liposomes as we expected by far the highest Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> activation on Folch III liposomes. Suprisingly, we obtained contrary results. In contrast to the other liposomes, on which Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> was modestly activated by Tiam1, Folch III did not bind Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> at all (Fig. 4D). As a consequence, Pak1 sedimentation could not be detected, although Tiam1 was presented on the Folch III liposomes (Fig. 4D). Folch III has been described to contain mainly PS (80%), and minor contents of PE (10%), cerebrosides (5%), and other unidentified membrane lipids (5%) [46]. In fact, we expected Rac1<sup>Ic</sup>, due to the positive electrostatics, immediately upstream of the prenylated cysteine 189 at its very C-terminus (<sup>183</sup>KKRKRKCLLL1<sup>192</sup>), to bind tightly to the abundant, negatively charged PS moiety present in Folch III. However, synthetic liposomes and liposomes composed of Folch I also contain 50% PS (see Materials and Methods). Thus, we tested the effect of increasing PS concentrations in synthetic liposomes on the Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> binding and used PC as a control. Interestingly, not increasing PS concentrations but PC repelled Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> from associating with the liposomes (Fig. 4E) clearly supporting the existence of both an electrostatic attraction in the effective potential between Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> and PS-containing liposomes and an electrostatic repulsion in the case of PCcontaining liposomes. These data also suggest that Folch III may contain a different material that repel Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> from the liposomes, which cannot be PS. Therefore, we analyzed the content of our liposomes by conducting a thin layer chromatography. Data shown in Figure 4F revealed Folch III indeed contains PS and not PC. There is a trace of lipids that are less polar than PS, which may be the cause for the Rac1<sup>Ic</sup> repulsion. These data strongly suggest that Rac1 association with the membranes depends in addition to isoprenylation and accessory proteins also on local lipid composition.

## Discussion

The cell membrane is a platform for signal transduction through transmembrane receptors and membrane-associated proteins, including heterotrimeric G proteins and small GTPases of the Ras superfamily. These proteins are essentially dependent on posttranslational modifications by isoprenylation, palmitoylation or myristoylation to achieve their function [57,58,59]. In addition to studies of structural and chemical aspects of the individual proteins and components of signaling pathways, the new challenge is to investigate the influence of the lipid membrane surface environment on the temporal and spatial regulation of signaling events. One approach is the *in vitro* liposome reconstitution using purified proteins and synthetic liposomes. To this end prenvlated GTPases are purified from tissues, eukaryotic cells, such as yeast, or they are synthesized by chemical ligation of unmodified GTPases from E. coli with a synthetic peptide harboring an isoprenyl moiety [36]. In this study, we used the baculovirus-insect cell expression system to express and purify recombinant human Rac1 in a prenylated form. This system has the advantage to express recombinant genes from any origin and produce considerable amounts of modified proteins [60]. Purification of postranslationally modified GTPases, such as prenylated Rac1, is challenging in a way that its native, nucelotide-bound form needs to be maintained if extracted from the cell membranes. In a comprehensive detergent screen we found that some detergents, e.g. CHAPS, quantitatively extracted human Rac1-GDP from the insect cell membranes as monitored by a RhoGDI pull-down assay. Mass spectrometry, liposome- and RhoGDI-binding revealed that human Rac1 purified form insect cells is, in contrast to that purified from E. coli, posttranslationally modified.

Similar to our data, GDI1 has been reported previously to bind to and extract both nucleotide-bound forms of Cdc42 from plasma membranes *in vitro* [23,24]. Robbe and colleagues have shown that purified GDP-bound Rac1 from insect cells was dissociated from its complex with RhoGDI and associated with liposomes when the bound GDP was exchanged for GTP by depleting the bound  $Mg^{2+}$  by EDTA treatment [27]. Fewer studies were conducted by using prenylated Rac1 protein alone to elucidate its interaction with GDI1 and the liposomes: It has been shown that Rac1 purified from insect cell membrane fractions interact with artificial phagocyte membranes and that GDI1 counteracted this process [61]. This regulatory process is visualized in the present study in a direct way. We showed that GDI1 preferentially associates with the inactive, GDP-bound Rac1 and displaces it from the membrane as reported previously [50]. In addition, we found that a displacement of the active Rac1-GppNHp is also possible in spite of its low affinity for the GDI1. However, this does not take place if a Rac1 effector is in the proximity, as we showed for PAK1.

We have shown above that GDI1 also binds Rac1-GppNHp, consistent with early reports [62], and extracts it from the liposomes, although not as efficiently as Rac1-GDP (Fig. 2). One reason is that only a few residues of the four regions on Rac1 (amino acids or aa 29-42 of switch I, aa 62-68 of switch II, aa 91-108 of  $\alpha$ -helix 3 and aa 187–189 at the C-terminus), which are in direct contact with GDI [3], determine the specificity of the interaction of Rac-GDP with GDI [28,63]. Interestingly, conserved residues, such as Val36 and Asp38 of switch I, and Arg68, Tyr66, Leu69 and Leu72 of switch II, do not only contribute to the interaction with the GDI, but also to the interaction with GAPs and effectors. Under this environmental condition on the surface of the plasma membrane GDI does probably not undergo any interaction with Racl-GTP because it, as long as it is not switched off by GAPs, may preferentially exist in complex with various signal-transducing effectors, such as Pak1 [18,20,64]. This is exactly what we observed in this study when we mixed Rac1-GppNHp bound to liposomes with both GDI1 and Pak1. The latter binds Rac1 and blocks both, the accessibility of GDI1 and consequently Rac1-GppNHp extraction from the liposomes (Fig. 3B). As a downstream effector of Rac1, Pak1 and its GBD specifically and tightly bind to activated Rac1 [53,65,66,67]. Based on these findings, we can propose that RhoGDIs may also displace GTP-bound Rho GTPases from the plasma membrane presuming there are no Rac-specific effectors or GAPs around.

Another issue to be discussed is the interrelationship between GDIs and GEFs in regulating members of the Rho family. Unlike three known human RhoGDIs [15,16], the classical Dbl GEF family consists of 74 members in human [11]. They are characterized by a unique, catalytic DH domain often preceded by a pleckstrin homology (PH) domain indicating an essential and conserved function [11,12,54]. The PH domain has been implicated to serve multiple roles in signaling events anchoring GEFs to the membrane (e.g. via phosphoinositides) [54] and directing them towards their interacting GTPases which are already localized to the membrane [12]. In this regard, it is important to note that the bulk of the added GEFs remained in the soluble fraction in the presence of liposomes (Fig. 4A), which most likely is, except for Vav2 and Dbl, due to low binding affinity of the tandem PH domain for the lipid membrane. This clearly suggests that the GEF recruitment to the cell membrane underlays additional concerted mechanisms. One is that accessory binding domains, existing in some GEFs, may be necessary to promote membrane association. This includes an extra PH domain, e.g. in Tiam1 [68], a diacylglycerol binding C1 (protein kinase C conserved region 1) domain, e.g. in Vav proteins [69], or a Sec14 domain, e.g. in Dbl [70]. The other membrane-translocating mechanism involves adaptor proteins, such the G protein  $\beta\gamma$ subunits recruiting P-Rex1 [71] or the Arp2/3 complex recruiting Tiam1 [72,73].

Moreover, we found that dissociation of Rac1-GDP from its complex with GDI1 strongly correlated with two distinct activities of the DHPH of especially Dbl and Tiam1, including PHmediated association with liposomes and DH-mediated GDP/ GppNHp exchange of Rac1 (Figs. 3E and 4C). This and the fact that the binding affinity of the DH domain for the GDP-bound Rho GTPase is in the lower micromolar range (Z. Guo, E. Amin, R. Dvorsky and M.R. Ahmadian, unpublished data) indicates that the Rho GTPase-GDI complex may not be as tight as it has been suggested previousely [17,24]. However, future investigations will elucidate the displacement of Rac1 from the GDI complex in the presence of liposomes and RacGEFs, both of which participate in the mechanism of specific activation of Rac1 and are required for accurate downstream signaling. This study has just opened a new window into future mechanistic studies of Rac1 regulation and signaling.

### **Supporting Information**

**File S1** Contains Table S1, Detergents used in this study. Supporting References to Table S1. Figure S1, Expression of human Rac1 in insect cells. Figure S2, Mass spectra of  $\text{Rac1}^{Ee}$  (left panel) and  $\text{Rac1}^{Ie}$  (right panel) after deconvolution. Figure S3,

## References

- 1. Hall A (2012) Rho family GTPases. Biochem Soc Trans 40: 1378-1382.
- Wennerberg K, Der CJ (2004) Rho-family GTPases: it's not only Rac and Rho (and I like it). J Cell Sci 117: 1301–1312.
- Dvorsky R, Ahmadian MR (2004) Always look on the bright site of Rho: structural implications for a conserved intermolecular interface. Embo Rep 5: 1130–1136.
- Lam BD, Hordijk PL (2013) The Rac1 hypervariable region in targeting and signaling: a tail of many stories. Small GTPases 4: 78–89.
- Philips MR, Cox AD (2007) Geranylgeranyltransferase I as a target for anticancer drugs. J Clin Invest 117: 1223–1225.
- Roberts PJ, Mitin N, Keller PJ, Chenette EJ, Madigan JP, et al. (2008) Rho Family GTPase modification and dependence on CAAX motif-signaled posttranslational modification. J Biol Chem 283: 25150–25163.
- van Hennik PB, ten Klooster JP, Halstead JR, Voermans C, Anthony EC, et al. (2003) The C-terminal domain of Rac1 contains two motifs that control targeting and signaling specificity. J Biol Chem 278: 39166–39175.
- Chae YC, Kim JH, Kim KL, Kim HW, Lee HY, et al. (2008) Phospholipase D activity regulates integrin-mediated cell spreading and migration by inducing GTP-Rac translocation to the plasma membrane. Mol Biol Cell 19: 3111–3123.
- Navarro-Lerida I, Sanchez-Perales S, Calvo M, Rentero C, Zheng Y, et al. (2012) A palmitoylation switch mechanism regulates Rac1 function and membrane organization. EMBO J 31: 534–551.
- Jaiswal M, Fansa EK, Dvorsky R, Ahmadian MR (2013) New insight into the molecular switch mechanism of human Rho family proteins: shifting a paradigm. Biol Chem 394: 89–95.
- Jaiswal M, Dvorsky R, Ahmadian MR (2013) Deciphering the molecular and functional basis of Dbl family proteins: a novel systematic approach toward classification of selective activation of the Rho family proteins. J Biol Chem 288: 4486–4500.
- Rossman KL, Der CJ, Sondek J (2005) GEF means go: turning on RHO GTPases with guanine nucleotide-exchange factors. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 6: 167–180.
- Tcherkezian J, Lamarche-Vane N (2007) Current knowledge of the large RhoGAP family of proteins. Biol Cell 99: 67–86.
- 14. Jaiswal M, Dvorsky R, Amin E, Risse SL, Fansa EK, et al. (2014) Functional crosstalk between Ras and Rho pathways: p120RasGAP competitively inhibits the RhoGAP activity of Deleted in Liver Cancer (DLC) tumor suppressors by masking its catalytic arginine finger. J Biol Chem 289: 6839–6849
- DerMardirossian C, Bokoch GM (2005) GDIs: central regulatory molecules in Rho GTPase activation. Trends Cell Biol 15: 356–363.
- Garcia-Mata R, Boulter E, Burridge K (2011) The 'invisible hand': regulation of RHO GTPases by RHOGDIs. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 12: 493–504.
- Tnimov Z, Guo Z, Gambin Y, Nguyen UT, Wu YW, et al. (2012) Quantitative analysis of prenylated RhoA interaction with its chaperone, RhoGDI. J Biol Chem 287: 26549–26562.
- Bishop AL, Hall A (2000) Rho GTPases and their effector proteins. Biochem J 348 Pt 2: 241–255.
- Burridge K, Wennerberg K (2004) Rho and Rac take center stage. Cell 116: 167–179.
- Parrini MC, Matsuda M, de Gunzburg J (2005) Spatiotemporal regulation of the Pak1 kinase. Biochem Soc Trans 33: 646–648.
- Etienne-Manneville S, Hall A (2002) Rho GTPases in cell biology. Nature 420: 629–635.
- Heasman SJ, Ridley AJ (2008) Mammalian Rho GTPases: new insights into their functions from in vivo studies. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 9: 690–701.
- Leonard D, Hart MJ, Platko JV, Eva A, Henzel W, et al. (1992) The identification and characterization of a GDP-dissociation inhibitor (GDI) for the CDC42Hs protein. J Biol Chem 267: 22860–22868.

Liposome preparation and sedimentation experiments using human  $Rac1^{\rm Ic}.$ 

(PDF)

### Acknowledgments

We thank R. Piekorz, J. Scheller, and the members of the Institute of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology II for discussions and support.

# **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: SCZ LG HH MRA. Performed the experiments: SCZ LG PJ AS EK. Analyzed the data: SCZ LG PJ EK MRA. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: SCZ LG HH PJ AK ICC EK BN MRA. Contributed to the writing of the manuscript: SCZ MRA.

- Nomanbhoy TK, Erikson JW, Cerione RA (1999) Kinetics of Cdc42 membrane extraction by Rho-GDI monitored by real-time fluorescence resonance energy transfer. Biochemistry 38: 1744–1750.
- Fuchs A, Dagher MC, Jouan A, Vignais PV (1994) Activation of the O2(-)generating NADPH oxidase in a semi-recombinant cell-free system. Assessment of the function of Rac in the activation process. Eur J Biochem 226: 587–595.
- Molnar G, Dagher MC, Geiszt M, Settleman J, Ligeti E (2001) Role of prenylation in the interaction of Rho-family small GTPases with GTPase activating proteins. Biochemistry 40: 10542–10549.
- Robbe K, Otto-Bruc A, Chardin P, Antonny B (2003) Dissociation of GDP dissociation inhibitor and membrane translocation are required for efficient activation of Rac by the Dbl homology-pleckstrin homology region of Tiam. J Biol Chem 278: 4756–4762.
- Grizot S, Faure J, Fieschi F, Vignais PV, Dagher MC, et al. (2001) Crystal structure of the Rac1-RhoGDI complex involved in nadph oxidase activation. Biochemistry 40: 10007–10013.
- Hoffman GR, Nassar N, Cerione RA (2000) Structure of the Rho family GTPbinding protein Cdc42 in complex with the multifunctional regulator RhoGDI. Cell 100: 345–356.
- Faure J, Vignais PV, Dagher MC (1999) Phosphoinositide-dependent activation of Rho A involves partial opening of the RhoA/Rho-GDI complex. Eur J Biochem 262: 879–889.
- Ugolev Y, Berdichevsky Y, Weinbaum C, Pick E (2008) Dissociation of Rac1(GDP).RhoGDI complexes by the cooperative action of anionic liposomes containing phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate, Rac guanine nucleotide exchange factor, and GTP. J Biol Chem 283: 22257–22271.
- Gureasko J, Galush WJ, Boykevisch S, Sondermann H, Bar-Sagi D, et al. (2008) Membrane-dependent signal integration by the Ras activator Son of sevenless. Nat Struct Mol Biol 15: 452–461.
- Alexander M, Gerauer M, Pechlivanis M, Popkirova B, Dvorsky R, et al. (2009) Mapping the isoprenoid binding pocket of PDEdelta by a semisynthetic, photoactivatable N-Ras lipoprotein. Chembiochem 10: 98–108.
- 34. Ismail SA, Chen YX, Rusinova A, Chandra A, Bierbaum M, et al. (2011) Arl2-GTP and Arl3-GTP regulate a GDI-like transport system for farnesylated cargo. Nat Chem Biol 7: 942–949.
- Weise K, Kapoor S, Werkmuller A, Mobitz S, Zimmermann G, et al. (2012) Dissociation of the K-Ras4B/PDEdelta complex upon contact with lipid membranes: membrane delivery instead of extraction. J Am Chem Soc 134: 11503–11510.
- Triola G, Waldmann H, Hedberg C (2012) Chemical biology of lipidated proteins. ACS Chemical Biology 7: 87–99.
- Wu YW, Oesterlin LK, Tan KT, Waldmann H, Alexandrov K, et al. (2010) Membrane targeting mechanism of Rab GTPases elucidated by semisynthetic protein probes. Nat Chem Biol 6: 534–540.
- Guo Z, Wu YW, Das D, Delon C, Cramer J, et al. (2008) Structures of RabGGTase-substrate/product complexes provide insights into the evolution of protein prenylation. EMBO J 27: 2444–2456.
- Wu YW, Tan KT, Waldmann H, Goody RS, Alexandrov K (2007) Interaction analysis of prenylated Rab GTPase with Rab escort protein and GDP dissociation inhibitor explains the need for both regulators. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104: 12294–12299.
- Herbrand U, Ahmadian MR (2006) p190-RhoGAP as an integral component of the Tiam1/Rac1-induced downregulation of Rho. Biol Chem 387: 311–317.
- Mena JA, Ramirez OT, Palomares LA (2003) Titration of non-occluded baculovirus using a cell viability assay. Biotechniques 34: 260–262, 264.
- Reed IJ, Muench H (1938) A simple method of estimating fifty per cent endpoint. Am J Hyg 27: 493–497.
- Fansa EK, Dvorsky R, Zhang SC, Fiegen D, Ahmadian MR (2013) Interaction characteristics of Plexin-B1 with Rho family proteins. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 434: 785–790.

- Shymanets A, Ahmadian MR, Kossmeier KT, Wetzker R, Harteneck C, et al. (2012) The p101 subunit of PI3Kgamma restores activation by Gbeta mutants deficient in stimulating p110gamma. Biochem J 441: 851–858.
- Boura E, Hurley JH (2012) Structural basis for membrane targeting by the MVB12-associated beta-prism domain of the human ESCRT-I MVB12 subunit. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109: 1901–1906.
- Bloom JW, Nesheim ME, Mann KG (1979) Phospholipid-binding properties of bovine factor V and factor Va. Biochemistry 18: 4419–4425.
- Saci A, Cantley LC, Carpenter CL (2011) Racl Regulates the Activity of mTORC1 and mTORC2 and Controls Cellular Size. Mol Cell 42: 50–61.
- Michaelson D, Silletti J, Murphy G, D'Eustachio P, Rush M, et al. (2001) Differential localization of Rho GTPases in live cells: regulation by hypervariable regions and RhoGDI binding. J Cell Biol 152: 111–126.
- Hancock JF, Hall A (1993) A Novel Role for Rhogdi as an Inhibitor of Gap Proteins. EMBO J 12: 1915–1921.
- Moissoglu K, Slepchenko BM, Meller N, Horwitz AF, Schwartz MA (2006) In vivo dynamics of Rac-membrane interactions. Mol Biol Cell 17: 2770–2779.
- Morreale A, Venkatesan M, Mott HR, Owen D, Nietlispach D, et al. (2000) Structure of Cdc42 bound to the GTPase binding domain of PAK. Nat Struct Biol 7: 384–388.
- Gizachew D, Guo W, Chohan KK, Sutcliffe MJ, Oswald RE (2000) Structure of the complex of Cdc42Hs with a peptide derived from P-21 activated kinase. Biochemistry 39: 3963–3971.
- Haeusler LC, Blumenstein L, Stege P, Dvorsky R, Ahmadian MR (2003) Comparative functional analysis of the Rac GTPases. FEBS Letters 555: 556– 560.
- Viaud J, Gaits-Iacovoni F, Payrastre B (2012) Regulation of the DH-PH tandem of guanine nucleotide exchange factor for Rho GTPases by phosphoinositides. Adv Biol Regul 52: 303–314.
- Mertens AE, Roovers RC, Collard JG (2003) Regulation of Tiam1-Rac signalling. FEBS Letters 546: 11–16.
- Boissier P, Huynh-Do U (2014) The guanine nucleotide exchange factor Tiam1: A Janus-faced molecule in cellular signaling. Cell Signal 26: 483–491.
- 57. Marrari Y, Crouthamel M, Irannejad R, Wedegaertner PB (2007) Assembly and trafficking of heterotrimeric G proteins. Biochemistry 46: 7665–7677.
- Konstantinopoulos PA, Karamouzis MV, Papavassiliou AG (2007) Posttranslational modifications and regulation of the RAS superfamily of GTPases as anticancer targets. Nat Rev Drug Discov 6: 541–555.
- Brunsveld L, Waldmann H, Huster D (2009) Membrane binding of lipidated Ras peptides and proteins—the structural point of view. Biochim Biophys Acta 1788: 273–288.

- Contreras-Gomez A, Sanchez-Miron A, Garcia-Camacho F, Chisti Y, Molina-Grima E (2013) Protein production using the baculovirus-insect cell expression system. Biotechnol Prog.
- Gorzalczany Y, Sigal N, Itan M, Lotan O, Pick E (2000) Targeting of Rac1 to the phagocyte membrane is sufficient for the induction of NADPH oxidase assembly. J Biol Chem 275: 40073–40081.
- Sasaki T, Kato M, Takai Y (1993) Consequences of weak interaction of rho GDI with the GTP-bound forms of rho p21 and rac p21. J Biol Chem 268: 23959– 23963.
- Scheffzek K, Stephan I, Jensen ON, Illenberger D, Gierschik P (2000) The Rac-RhoGDI complex and the structural basis for the regulation of Rho proteins by RhoGDI. Nat Struct Biol 7: 122–126.
- Parrini MC, Matsuda M, de Gunzburg J (2005) Spatiotemporal regulation of the Pak1 kinase. Biochem Soc Trans 33: 646–648.
- Zhang B, Chernoff J, Zheng Y (1998) Interaction of Rac1 with GTPaseactivating proteins and putative effectors. A comparison with Cdc42 and RhoA. J Biol Chem 273: 8776–8782.
- Parrini MC, Lei M, Harrison SC, Mayer BJ (2002) Pak1 kinase homodimers are autoinhibited in trans and dissociated upon activation by Cdc42 and Rac1. Mol Cell 9: 73–83.
- Hemsath L, Dvorsky R, Fiegen D, Carlier MF, Ahmadian MR (2005) An electrostatic steering mechanism of Cdc42 recognition by Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome proteins. Mol Cell 20: 313–324.
- Michiels F, Stam JC, Hordijk PL, van der Kammen RA, Ruuls-Van Stalle L, et al. (1997) Regulated membrane localization of Tiam1, mediated by the NH2terminal pleckstrin homology domain, is required for Rac-dependent membrane ruffling and C-Jun NH2-terminal kinase activation. J Cell Biol 137: 387–398.
- Rapley J, Tybulewicz VL, Rittinger K (2008) Crucial structural role for the PH and C1 domains of the Vav1 exchange factor. Embo Reports 9: 655–661.
- Ognibene M, Vanni C, Blengio F, Segalerba D, Mancini P, et al. (2014) Identification of a novel mouse Dbl proto-oncogene splice variant: evidence that SEC14 domain is involved in GEF activity regulation. Gene 537: 220–229.
- Barber MA, Donald S, Thelen S, Anderson KE, Thelen M, et al. (2007) Membrane translocation of P-Rex1 is mediated by G protein betagamma subunits and phosphoinositide 3-kinase. J Biol Chem 282: 29967–29976.
- Boissier P, Chen J, Huynh-Do U (2013) EphA2 signaling following endocytosis: role of Tiam1. Traffic 14: 1255–1271.
- Ten Klooster JP, Evers EE, Janssen L, Machesky LM, Michiels F, et al. (2006) Interaction between Tiam1 and the Arp2/3 complex links activation of Rac to actin polymerization. Biochem J 397: 39–45.