Inactivation of Hypersensitive Neurons, pages 129-136 © 1987 Alan R. Liss, Inc.

SPREADING EXCITATION AND DEPRESSION IN HIPPOCAMPAL SLICES -MECHANISMS AND MODIFICATION OF NON-SYNAPTIC SYNCHRONIZATION

H.L. Haas

Neurochirurgische Universitätsklinik CH-8091 Zürich

INTRODUCTION

Signal transmission through chemical synapses is the major way of communication between nerve cells. It is, however, not the only way: Fluctuations in extracellular ions evoked by nervous activity can influence neighbouring neurones, gap junctions between nerve cells allow direct current flow from one cell into another and, if there is a relatively high extracellular resistance, action currents of significant amplitude flow through neighbouring cells to ground thereby influencing the excitability of the latter. In 1942 Arvanitaki first used the term ephaptic interaction to describe transmission between two touching axons of Sepia, but similar phenomena had been described earlier (Jasper and Monnier, 1938; Katz and Schmitt, 1940). The high extracellular resistance at the axon cap of the Mauthner cell directs this cells action currents through nerve endings and smaller neurones resulting in inhibitions (Furukawa and Furshpan, 1963; Korn and Faber, 1975). A simi- lar inhibitory action also occurs on cerebellar Purkinje cells when an action potential invades the basket cell axon plexus (Korn and Axelrad, 1980). In the hippocampus, the lamellar organisation and orientation of the principal neurones allow the generation of large field potentials during synchronous activity (Andersen et al., 1971). As externally imposed electric fields of a few mV/mm, much smaller than such endogenous fields, alter neuronal excitability (Jefferys, 1981), it is hardly surprising that field effects can synchronize a large population of neurones, especially in the CA 1 area

Non-Synaptic Synchronization / 131

Source	Drug	action on field bu	ırst
Fluka	Acetylcholine (ACh)	acceleration:	+
	Adenosine slowing:		
	Adenosine-deaminase		+
Fluka	4-Aminopyridine		-
	Antiepileptics (Rose	et al. 1986)	-
Fluke	Atropine (muscarinic antagonist)		0 +
Sigma	8-bromo3'5'cyclic AMP (cyclic AMP)		
Fluka	Caffeine		+
Fluka	Carbamylcholine (Carbachol)		+ 0
SKF	Cimetidine (H2 antagonist)		
Ciba-Geigy			
Fluka	Dopamine (DA)		_/+
Fluka	Dinitrophenol	,	-0
Fluka	Eserine (Cholinesterase-blocker)		
Calbiochem	Imidazoleacetic acid (IMA)		
SKF	Impromidine (H2 agonist)		
Sigma	Isoprenaline (beta-antagonist)		
Fluka	Histamine (HA)		++
Fluka	Lithium ions (1-2 mM)		т 0
Sigma	Mepyramine (pyrilamin	he, H1 antagonist)	+
OVE	/_Methylhistamine (H2,H) agonist, 4-Mi		
Ciba-Geigy	Methysergide (5-HT antagonist)		
SKF	Motiamide (HZ antagonis)		
Sigma		nic agonist)	+
Sigma	Neuropeptide Y	no effect:	0
Fluka	Nicotine	no errecu:	-
Sigma	Nitrobenzylthioinosir	ne (Ad.uptake blocker)	+
Fluka	Noradrenaline (NA)		0
Sigma	Phenylephrine (alpha-	-antagonist)	+
Sigma	Pilocarpine (muscari)	nic agonist	0
Sigma	Propranolol (beta-an	tagonis ()	+
Sigma	Prostaglandine E2 Scopolamine (muscarinic antagonist)		0
Sigma	Scopolamine (muscari	nic antagonist,	_
Fluka	Serotonin (5-HT)	(m MU)	(+
Calbiochem	Tele-methylhistamine	encotic acid (MTA)	_
J.P.Green	Tele-methyl-imidazoi	EACEDIC COLC (1111)	+
Fluka	Theophylline	1 THEA)	
SKF	Thiazolethylamine (H1, THEA) Verapamil, D600 30 µM		
Knoll		f ma	\0 +
Sigma	VIP (peptide)		

Non-Synaptic Synchronization / 133

Generally, as the frequency increased, the bursts became shorter and more synchronized. Among the several antiepileptics tested, carbamazepine was the most powerful depressant of field bursts presumably because it antagonized repetitive firing (Hood et al., 1983). In nonsubmerged slices, where bursts are smaller and much less synchronized, the firing frequency of single cell action potentials showed the same sensitivity to drugs (Rose et 1986). What determines the interburst interval? al., other long lasting pumps or Electrogenic could be responsible. The afterhyperpolarizations recordings with K sensitive electrodes revealed that a new burst began about at the time when the Ko had returned to normal. The long lasting gK(Ca) has a duration of several seconds in hippocampal pyramidal cells but the distance between bursts is often 10 times longer.

CALCIUM-ACTIVATED POTASSIUM CONDUCTANCE (gK(Ca))

Among the drugs tested in low Ca high Mg on field bursts were several transmitters or modulators which have been shown to interfere with gK(Ca) (Benardo and Prince, 1982; Madison and Nicoll, 1982; Haas and Konnerth, 1983; Haas and Greene, 1986). A striking parallel was observed: All substances which blocked accommodation of firing and the long lasting afterhyperpolarization (AHP) depending on gK(Ca) accelerated the bursts at comparable concentrations. Among these were acetylcholine (muscarine), histamine (H2), noradrenaline (beta), dopamine at 100 μ M, 8-bromocyclic AMP, VIP, PGE1, caffeine, theophylline (Haas et al. 1984). On the other hand adenosine (A1) and dopamine at low concen

before	histamine	1 µM	wash
ad man an a	M. John M.	a gland Mar	all <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u>

Figure 2. Histamine blocks gK(Ca) in a CA 1 pyramidal cell of the rat hippocampus in vitro. A long lasting afterhyperpolarization (AHP) follows a short burst of five action potentials (out of scale). During bath application of histamine amplitude and duration of AHP are reduced. The "spontaneous" field bursts which develop in low Ca high Mg medium when chemical synapses are inactivated, provide a sensitive and easy measure for postsynaptic drug effects. This has added significantly to our understanding of the mechanism of action of transmitters and modulators.

Supported by Fonds für versuchstierfreie Forschung, Zürich

REFERENCES

Andersen P, Bliss TVP, Skrede KK (1971). Unit analysis of hippocampal population spikes. Exp Brain Res 13:208-221.
Avoli M, Agopyan N (1986). Chloride conductances and low calcium field bursts in the in vitro hippocampal slice. in press
Arvanitaki A (1942). Effects evoked in an axon by the acti-

vity of a contiguous one. J Neurophysiol 5:89-108.

- Benardo LS, Prince DA (1982a). Dopamine modulates a Ca2+activated potassium conductance in mammalian hippocampal pyramidal cells. Nature 297:76-79.
- Furukawa T, Furshpan EJ (1963). Two inhibitory mechanisms in the Mauthner neurons of the goldfish. J Neurophysiol 26:140-176.
- Gardner-Medwin AR (1983). A study of the mechanisms by which potassium moves through brain tissue in the rat. J Physiol 335:353-374.
- Haas HL, Greene RW (1986). Effects of histamine on hippocampal pyramidal cells of the rat in vitro. Exp Brain Res 62:123-130.
- Haas HL, Jefferys JGR (1984). Low-calcium field burst discharges of CA1 pyramidal neurones in rat hippocampal slices. J Physiol 354:185-201.
- Haas HL, Konnerth A (1983). Histamine and noradrenaline decrease calcium-activated potassium conductance in hippocampal pyramidal cells. Nature 302:432-434
- Haas HL, Jefferys JGR, Slater NT, Carpenter DO (1984). Modulation of spontaneous field bursts in the hippocampus by monoamines and cholinomimetics. Pflügers Arch 400:28-33.
- Haas HL, Wieser HG, Yasargil, MG (1983). 4-Aminopyridine and fiber potentials in rat and human hippocampal slices. Experientia 39:114-115.
- Hood TW, Siegfried J, Haas HL (1983). Analysis of carbamazepine actions in hippocampal slices of the rat. Cell Mol Neurobiol 3:213-222.

the hippocampus (Jefferys and Haas, 1982; Taylor and Dudek, 1982, 1984a, b; Haas and Jefferys, 1984; Yim et al., 1986). Simultaneous intra- and extracellular recordings allow demonstration of the transmembrane potential as the difference between these: a significant depolarizing transmembrane potential is build up at the soma level by the current generated by action potentials in neighbouring cells (Taylor and Dudek, 1982; Jefferys and Haas, 1984). Although first demonstrated in hippocampal slices in vitro, this phenomenon occurs in situ as well (Taylor et al. 1984; Yim et al. 1986). Pyramidal cells become hyperexcitable when they are exposed to low Ca high Mg solutions, which quickly block synaptic transmission, and entrain themselves in spontaneous synchronous discharges. These rhythmical events, termed field bursts or spreading excitation (Haas and Jefferys, 1984), can persist very regularly over many hours.



Figure 1. Spreading excitation (SE) and depression (SD) registered extracellularly with two different electrodes (U,V) in hippocampal slice of a rat. A: without lesion, field bursts (SE) occur almost synchronously at the two sites U and V. B: with a knifecut lesion (indicated by black bar), field bursts occur at site U about synchronous with SD at site V indicating a transmission of excitation Across the lesion. Downwards is negative in all figures.

SPREADING EXCITATION AND DEPRESSION IN LOW Ca++ HIGH ${\rm Mg}{\rm +}{\rm +}$

A field burst is often indistinguishable from the initial excitatory phase of spreading depression (Leao, 1972

Haas and Jefferys, 1984). The extracellular potassium concentration [K]o raises during but usually not before a burst (to ca. 10 mM) and falls back to normal within 10-30 $\,$ sec after termination. Occasionally however, [K]o raises further (upto ca. 30 mM), the cells' input resistances fall to a very low level for tens of seconds and a wave of potassium moves through the tissue at a speed of a few mm per sec. This slow propagation can be explained by potassium diffusion and redistribution (Gardner-Medwin, 1983; Haas and Jefferys, 1984; Konnerth et al., 1984). Spreading excitation arises frequently at the subicular end of a hippocampal slice and moves at a much higher speed 12 cm per sec) through the tissue, evidently (upto synchronized by field interactions (Jefferys and Haas, 1982). This fast propagation is most easily observed in non-submerged slices, which are more susceptible to field effects and to accumulations of potassium than slices which are completely submerged in perfusion fluid (Rose et al., 1986). Field bursts are often followed by a small positive potential corresponding to a membrane hyperpolarization which seems to contribute to the regulation of burst frequency. The only condition that accelerated and prolonged the bursts was hypoxia which often lead to spreading depression. Dinitrophenol and azide which might be expected to impair the energy supply both slowed the bursts, presumably through increasing intracellular calcium level and consequently gK(Ca). Blocking energy dependent pumps by ouabain, lithium and low temperature and raising the pH accelerated the bursts, acidification slowed them (Haas and Jefferys, 1984).

MODULATION BY TRANSMITTERS AND DRUGS

The original rationale for exposing slices to low Ca high Mg solutions had been to study neuronal properties in synaptic isolation, to determine the actions of transmitters and drugs without interference from indirect effects mediated by other neurones: The regular field bursts, stable over many hours provide ,indeed, a simple and sensitive test system for investigating the pharmacology of hippocampal principal neurones. We have examined a large number of transmitters, modulators and neuroactive drugs (Table 1). Interestingly, the frequency rather than duration or intensity of the bursts was most affected by the drugs added to the perfusion fluid.

trations reduced the burst frequency and increased the long lasting AHP. The parallelity of these effects could depend on changes in membrane resistance, as all drugs with a postsynaptic inhibitory action associated with an increased conductance (GABA, taurine, imidazoleacetic acid, baclofen, serotonin, adenosine) depressed the bursting, and vice versa drugs which block tonic (potassium- or chloride-, Avoli and Agopyan, 1986) conductances accelerated the firing. Only 4-aminopyridine, the drug that blocks the A-current, reduced rather than enhanced the burst firing frequency. This effect may be attributable to the marked increase in refractoriness caused by 4-AP (Haas et al. 1983). However, several of these drugs are very selectively working on gK(Ca) and it seems likely that their action in low Ca high Mg and in normal solution occurs through an interference with intracellular Ca level. A change in Ca binding capacity of proteins close to the membrane could in this way indirectly regulate the potassium currents.

Mu HISTAMINE 1



Figure 3. Histamine accelerates field bursts. Every downstroke represents the negative potential shift caused by one burst. Histamine was present at 1 uM in the perfusion fluid during time indicated by bar above trace.

CONCLUSION

While synaptic mechanisms are normally responsible for neuronal synchronization (see Schwartzkroin, 1983; Traub and Wong, 1982), a variety of non-synaptic mechanisms such as field interactions and [K]o-fluctuations can lead to hypersynchronous discharges as well. These may be prominent only in pathological situations but are likely to have a significant role to play in physiological conditions as well.

Jasper HH, Monnier AM (1938). Transmission of excitation between excised non-myelinated nerves. An artificial synapse. J Cell Comp Physiol 11:259-277. Jefferys JGR (1981). Influence of electric fields on the excitability of granule cells in guinea-pig hippocampal slices. J Physiol 319: Jefferys JGR, Haas HL (1982). Synchronized bursting of CA1 hippocampal pyramidal cells in the absence of synaptic transmission. Nature 300:448-450. Katz B, Schmitt O (1940). Electrical interaction between two adjacent nerve fibres. J Physiol 97:471-488. Konnerth A, Heinemann U, Yaari Y (1984). Slow transmission of neural activity in hippocampal area CA1 in absence of active chemical synapses. Nature 307:69-71. Korn H, Axelrad H (1980). Electrical inhibition of Purkinje cells in the cerebellum of the rat. Proc Natl Acad Sci 77:6244-6247. Korn H, Faber DS (1975). An electrically mediated inhibition in goldfish medulla. J Neurophysiol 38:452-471. Leao AAP (1972). Spreading depression. In Experimental Models of Epilepsy, ed. Purpura DP, Penry JK, Tower D, Woodbury DM, Walter R, 173-196 Raven, New York. Madison DV, Nicoll RA (1982). Noradrenaline blocks accommodation of pyramidal cell discharge in the hippocampus. Nature 299:636-638. Rose GM, Olpe H-R, Haas HL (1986). Testing of prototype antiepileptics in hippocampal slices. Naunyn-Schmicdeb Arch Pharmacol 332:89-92. Schwartzkroin PA (1983). Mechanisms of cell synchronization in epileptiform activity. Trends Neurosci 6: 157-160. Taylor CP, Dudek FE (1982). Synchronous neural afterdischarges in rat hippocampal slices without active chemical synapses. Science 218:810-818. Taylor CP, Dudek FE (1984). Synchronization without active chemical synapses during hippocampal afterdischarges. J Neurophysiol 52:143-155. Taylor CP, Dudek FE (1984). Excitation of hippocampal pyramidal cells by an electrical field effect. J Neurophysiol 52:126-142. Taylor CP, Krnjevic K, Ropert N (1984). Facilitation of hippocampal CA3 pyramidal cell firing by electrical fields generated antidromically. Neurosci 11:101-109. Traub RD, Wong RKS (1982). Cellular mechanism of neuronal synchronization in epilepsy. Science 216:745-747. Yim CC, Krnjevic K, Dalkara T (1986). Ephaptically generated potentials in CA1 neurons of rat's hippocampus in situ. J Neurophysiol 56:99-122.